

APPENDIX E

*DRAFT WRITTEN RE-EVALUATION
COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO
COMMENTS*

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
1 INTRODUCTION	E-1
2 LIST OF COMMENTERS	E-2
3 TOPICAL RESPONSES	E-12
3.1 TOPICAL RESPONSE A: ADEQUACY OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ANALYSES	E-13
3.2 TOPICAL RESPONSE B: LENGTH OF COMMENT PERIOD	E-14
3.3 TOPICAL RESPONSE C: FLIGHT PATHS	E-15
3.4 TOPICAL RESPONSE D: ADEQUACY OF NOISE ANALYSIS	E-15
4 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO COMMENTS ON THE WRITTEN RE-EVALUATION	E-17

1 INTRODUCTION

This appendix contains a list of comments received on the Draft Written Re-Evaluation during the 15-day comment period (November 7, 2023 through November 22, 2023) and responses to those comments.

Following this introduction, **Section 2** provides a list of commenters grouped by agencies, organizations, elected officials, and the general public. Within the groupings, commenters are organized in alphabetical order by last name or last name initial.

Section 3 contains topical responses to issues that were raised by multiple commenters. The topical responses are intended to provide a comprehensive overview of the issue and are supplementary to the responses to specific comments contained in **Section 4**.

Section 4 contains copies of comments received during the comment period and responses to those comments. Commenters provided these comments in written and electronic formats. The FAA solicited comments through public notices; in writing via U.S. mail comment submissions, and electronically at the bobhopeairporteis.com website. Each comment is numbered in the margin of the comment letter or website submission, and the responses to all of the comments follow that comment letter or website submission. Following each comment submission, responses to each of the comments is provided.

2 LIST OF COMMENTERS

Table 2-1 below provides an indexed list of all commenters on the Draft Written Re-evaluation. The table is separated by agencies, organizations, and members of the public. Codes were developed that consist of a letter and a number to identify each commenter to facilitate the cataloging of all comments that were received. The letter identifies the type of commenter as follows:

A = Agency (Federal, State, Regional, or Local)

E = Elected Official

O = Organization

P = Public

The number that follows the letter identifies the specific comment letter, website comment, or email comment. For example, the code "P-37" describes the commenter as being the 37th member of the public who provided comments.

TABLE 2-1
COMMENTERS ON DRAFT WRITTEN RE-EVALUATION

COMMENTS NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
Agency				
A-1	Andrea K. Leisy, Laura M. Harris, and Casey A. Shorrock	City of Los Angeles	Nov 21, 2023	U.S. Mail
A-2	Paul Krekorian and Nithya Raman	City Council, City of Los Angeles	Nov 16, 2023	U.S. Mail
A-3	Frank R. Miller	Burbank- Glendale- Pasadena Airport Authority	Nov 22, 2023	U.S. Mail
Elected Officials				
E-1	Brad Sherman	Member of U.S. Congress	Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
Organization				
O-1	SoCal SFV Aviation Community Group		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTER NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
O-2	Nancy Sogioian	Board of Directors, Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association	Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
Public				
P-1	Lydia A.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-2	Michelle A.		Nov 18, 2023	Electronic
P-3	Heidi Abra		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-4	Shidan Adlparvar		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-5	Miguel Almaguer		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-6	Deborah Ambrosino		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-7	Natasa Andrejuc		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-8	Aaron B.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-9	Geoffrey B.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-10	Kesara B.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-11	Lyn B.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-12	Mauro B.		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-13	Robin B.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-14	Robert Baer		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-15	Stephanie Baio		Nov 18, 2023	Electronic
P-16	Mary Baker		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-17	Kyrie Bass		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-18	Lester Bass		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-19	Michael Bassett		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-20	Joe Baxley		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-21	Crystal Beecher		Nov 18, 2023	Electronic
P-22	Bastien Benkhelil		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-23	Annelize Bester		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-24	Joelle Birnberg		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-25	Julie Bleicher		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-26	Nicolas Bobroff		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-27	Leni Boorstin		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-28	Brian Bouchey		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-29	Kelly Bouchey		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-30	Lorna Boyd		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-31	Sarah Boyd		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-32	Barbara Brabec		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-33	Robert Bramen		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTER NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-34	Peter Brandt		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-35	Jeff Brooks		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-36	Jeff Brooks		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-37	Jeff Brooks		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-38	David Bubis		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-39	Heidi Buech		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-40	Alessio Burgio		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-41	Alisa Burket		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-42	Chloe Burnett		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-43	Gail Butler		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-44	Ellen Byron		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-45	Art C.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-46	Brad C.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-47	Cristy C.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-48	Karen C.		Nov 18, 2023	Electronic
P-49	Laura C.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-50	Linda C.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-51	Lisa C.		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-52	Marjorie C.P.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-53	Jodi Caden		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-54	Kari Caden		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-55	Lori Caden		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-56	EE Cahill		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-57	T. Carter		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-58	Catherine Chanin		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-59	Mark Chatinsky		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-60	Brie Childers		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-61	Susan Clark		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-62	Jane Cody		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-63	Daniel Cohen		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-64	Janet Cohen		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-65	Jay Cohen		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-66	Laurie Cohn		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-67	Charles Coleman		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-68	Maxwell Connelly		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-69	Robert Corbin		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-70	Bill Corcoran		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-71	Martha Cordero		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-72	Lynn Crosswaite		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-73	Don Crutch		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTS NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-74	Christopher Culliton		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-75	George D.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-76	Jennifer D.		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-77	Joanne D.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-78	Justin D.		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-79	Paulo Da Silva		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-80	Victoria Dailey		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-81	Tracey Daniel		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-82	Michael Dantziger		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-83	David Davis		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-84	David Davis		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-85	Emmanuel De Saint Didier		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-86	Betsya Dennis		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-87	Luke Dennis		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-88	Randy Dodge		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-89	Glennis Dolce		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-90	Kay Donno		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-91	Nora Doyle		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-92	Ron Dresher		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-93	Audra Dubler		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-94	Lisa Dunn		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-95	Rachel Dworkin		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-96	Andrew E.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-97	Elizabeth Edinger		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-98	Stephanie Eisen		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-99	Howard Ekerling		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-100	Sherri Elkaim		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-101	Christie Enholm		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-102	Richard Escoto		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-103	Jennifer F.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-104	Nancy F.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-105	Rick F.		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-106	Sharon F.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-107	Tal Feldman		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-108	Mari Feldmeier		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-109	Robert Fentress		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-110	Michael Fields		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-111	Federico Figus		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTS NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-112	Maureen Flannigan		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-113	Sonja Flemming		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-114	Kathi Flood		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-115	Matthew Flynn		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-116	Mary Fragodt		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-117	F--- You Burbank		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-118	Cathy F--- youburbank		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-119	Masami Fukuhara		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-120	Alana G.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-121	David G.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-122	Julie G.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-123	Steve G.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-124	Tyler G.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-125	S. Gale		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-126	C Galsor		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-127	Ellen Ganus		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-128	Paul Ganus		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-129	Heather Gerdes		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-130	Heather Lea Gerdes		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-131	Jane Goe		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-132	Kevin Goetz		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-133	Claire Gold		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-134	Russell Greene		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-135	Michele Gruska		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-136	Amy H.		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-137	Kelly H.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-138	Paul H.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-139	Robert H.		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-140	Sandra H.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-141	K. Hamilton		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-142	Samantha Hanks		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-143	Jocelyn Harrison		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-144	Catherine Hayes		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-145	Barrett Heins		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-146	Carolyn Hennesy		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-147	Michael Hetzner		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-148	James P. Higgins (1)		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTER NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-149	James P. Higgins (2)		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-150	Rick Hill		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-151	Gillian Hobson		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-152	Judith Hoechner		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-153	Tom Holland		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-154	Jeff Horowitz		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-155	Alin Hovhane		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-156	Nina Huang		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-157	Paula Hutchings Allen		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-158	Elaine I.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-159	Lee I.		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-160	Alex Intelligator		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-161	Alex Izbicki		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-162	Darlene Jacobs		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-163	Jeff Jacobs		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-164	Marina Jimenez		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-165	David Kamin		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-166	Daniella Karidi		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-167	Jason Kay		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-168	Roxanna Kaz		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-169	Tobias Keene		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-170	Elizabeth Keener		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-171	Rodney Kemerer		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-172	Rodney Kemerer		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-173	David Kimball		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-174	Nancy Kirhoffer		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-175	Sarina Klemes		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-176	Cindy Koch		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-177	Jo Anna Korngute Hall		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-178	Ewelina Kosciow		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-179	Rachel Kozaski		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-180	Michael Kramer		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-181	Linda Kristman		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-182	Lloyd Kurtz		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-183	Lloyd Kurtz		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-184	Amanda L.		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-185	Debbie L.		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTER NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-186	Denis L.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-187	Matt L.		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-188	Patricia L.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-189	Ronald L.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-190	Tony L.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-191	Shirley Andrea Lauber		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-192	Pa Le		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-193	Hee Lee		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-194	Michelle Lee		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-195	Peter Leinheiser		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-196	Andrew Levin		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-197	Dr. Andrew Lewis DDS		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-198	Jeffrey Lewis		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-199	Marsha Lewis		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-200	S. Lim		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-201	Garrett Lindsey		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-202	Janet Loeb		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-203	Laura Loftin		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-204	Stephen Loguidice		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-205	Jeanie Love		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-206	Steve Love		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-207	Sandra Lucchesi		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-208	Anthony Lucente		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-209	B. M.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-210	Ellen M.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-211	Kathleen M.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-212	Nadia M.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-213	Yevgeniya M.		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-214	Heidi MacKay		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-215	Andrew Magarian		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-216	Mary Mallory		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-217	Benjamin Marsh		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-218	Alison Martin		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-219	Alison Martin		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-220	Megan McCord		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-221	David McCoy		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-222	Geralyn McGah- Miller		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTS NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-223	Brian McGarry		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-224	Jayne McKay		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-225	Martha McMahon		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-226	Louis Milito		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-227	Judy Miller		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-228	Pamela / Randy Miller		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-229	Randy Miller		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-230	Janine Milne		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-231	George Mooradian		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-232	Martha Morrison		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-233	Fred Muir		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-234	Aliza Murietta		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-235	Nathaniel Murphy		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-236	David N.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-237	Daniel Nadsady		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-238	Caterina Nelli		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-239	Lindsay Nesmith		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-240	Jason Nesmith		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-241	Mason Newton		Nov 19, 2023	Electronic
P-242	Mary Odson		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-243	Rod Odson		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-244	Nicole Ostrander		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-245	Michele P.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-246	K. Pals		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-247	Hal Paris		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-248	Elizabeth Parry		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-249	Pam Pechter		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-250	Steve Pechter		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-251	Alan Perris		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-252	Del Persinger		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-253	Faust Pierfederici		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-254	Jason Pope		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-255	Fran Potaski		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-256	Jessica Poter		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-257	Jim Praytor		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-258	W. Purcell		Nov 19, 2023	Electronic
P-259	Anne R.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-260	Bernice R.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-261	Ryn Ramirez		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTER NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-262	Paul Reavlin		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-263	Sanjay Reddy		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-264	Derek Reisinger		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-265	Lynn Rembert		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-266	Debra Reynolds		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-267	Debra Reynolds		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-268	Jeffrey Ringer		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-269	Laurie Ritttenberg		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-270	Yuval Ron		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-271	Danny Rose		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-272	Isabel Rosenbaum		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-273	Jennifer Rothman		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-274	John Ruffner		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-275	Garrett S.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-276	Inga S.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-277	Richard S.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-278	Sara S.		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-279	Jose Sanchezq		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-280	Jennifer Saunders		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-281	Charles Savinar		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-282	Cathy Schlesinger		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-283	Todd Schroeder		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-284	Amy Schulenberg		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-285	Bonnie Schut		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-286	Mark Schwartz		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-287	Cynthia Schwieger		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-288	Sahand Sedge		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-289	Albert Shapiro		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-290	lilavati sharma		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-291	Andrea Sher		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-292	David Sherry		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-293	Helene Shoval		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-294	Ron Shulem		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-295	Roman Silberfeld		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-296	Daniel Silverberg		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-297	Rebecca Smith		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-298	Nancy Sogoian		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-299	Norman Spieler		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-300	Laurie Spivak		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-301	L. St. James		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTER NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-302	Becca Stern		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-303	Martha Stevens		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-304	Bob Stiefel		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-305	Mitch Suskin		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-306	Mitch Suskin		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-307	Jannis Swerman		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-308	Kate T.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-309	Kim T.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-310	Danielle Tardino		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-311	Kara Thomas		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-312	Lisa Thomas		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-313	Rose Thomas		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-314	Russ Thomas		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-315	Russell Thomas		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-316	Melish Thompson		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-317	Monica Tomova		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-318	Maureen Toth		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-319	Lauri Trapp		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-320	Debbie Trompeter		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-321	Jack Trompeter		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-322	Lionel U.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-323	John Van Tongeren		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-324	Roman Verba		Nov 17, 2023	Electronic
P-325	Laila Villalobos		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-326	Natasha Vogt		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-327	D. W.		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-328	Debi W.		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-329	Jennifer W.		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-330	Lauren W.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-331	Mel W.		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-332	Nancy W.		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-333	Jeffrey Wachtel		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-334	S. Wagner		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-335	Christine Wasserman		Nov 22, 2023	Electronic
P-336	Chouket Weglein		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-337	Steve Weinstein		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-338	Joyce Weintraub		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-339	Jay Weitzler		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic

COMMENTER NUMBER	NAME	AFFILIATION	DATE	SUBMISSION TYPE
P-340	Jami Witte		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic
P-341	G. Wolf		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-342	Mabel Woods		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-343	Gail Wunsch		Nov 16, 2023	Electronic
P-344	D. Yaplee		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-345	Lauren Young		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-346	Jay Zarenian		Nov 14, 2023	Electronic
P-347	Arman Zatikyan		Nov 20, 2023	Electronic
P-348	Arman Zatikyan		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-349	Arman Zatikyan		Nov 15, 2023	Electronic
P-350	Jimmy Zhang		Nov 21, 2023	Electronic

Source: RS&H, 2023.

3 TOPICAL RESPONSES

Many of the comments received on the Draft Written Re-evaluation contained similar themes, concerns, and questions regarding the Proposed Project and the analyses contained in the Draft Written Re-evaluation. As a result, comments were grouped by subject matter, topical responses were developed for each comment group, and when appropriate, directed the reader to the relevant topical response. The topical responses are categorized into the following 4 different topics:

TOPICAL RESPONSE A: ADEQUACY OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY
ACT (NEPA) ANALYSES

TOPICAL RESPONSE B: LENGTH OF COMMENT PERIOD

TOPICAL RESPONSE C: FLIGHT PATHS

TOPICAL RESPONSE D: ADEQUACY OF NOISE ANALYSIS

3.1 TOPICAL RESPONSE A: ADEQUACY OF NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) ANALYSES

Several commenters asserted that the Proposed Project has not been adequately analyzed under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The Proposed Project was analyzed in accordance with Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Orders 1050.1F (Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures) and 5050.4B (National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Implementing Instructions for Airport Actions), which are FAA's NEPA policies and procedures. These Orders were reviewed by the Council on Environmental Quality, the agency responsible for implementing NEPA. FAA published the Orders in the Federal Register for public notice and comment prior to being finalized (see 80 Fed. Reg. 44,208 [July 24, 2015] and 71 Fed.Reg. 29,014 [May 18, 2006]). The FAA issued a combined Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) approving the Proposed Project on May 21, 2021.

The City of Los Angeles challenged the FAA's decision. On March 29, 2023, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (the Court) held that FAA's EIS had complied with NEPA in many respects and rejected most of Los Angeles's claims. 63 F.4th 835 (9th Cir. 2023). However, the Court remanded the case back to the FAA to address several specific issues with the FAA's construction noise analysis and related issues in the environmental justice and cumulative impacts analyses. To accomplish that, FAA prepared this written re-evaluation. In accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, *Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures*, paragraph 9-2, a written re-evaluation is a document used to determine whether the contents of a previously prepared environmental document remain valid or a new or supplemental environmental document is required where there is new information presented. A supplemental EIS is not required if the written re-evaluation indicates that the Proposed Project conforms to projects in the previous EIS, data and analyses are still substantially valid, and there are no significant new circumstances or information relevant to environmental concerns and bearing on the Proposed Project or its impacts, and pertinent conditions and requirements of the prior approval have been, or will be, in the current action.

The Written Re-evaluation is focused on the Court's required additional analysis related to construction noise from the Proposed Project and resulting environmental justice and cumulative impacts. The Written Re-evaluation, prepared pursuant to Paragraph 9-2 of FAA Order 1050.1F, validated FAA's determination in the Final EIS that there would be no significant construction noise impacts, environmental justice and cumulative impacts resulting from the Proposed Project when compared to the No Action Alternative.

3.2 TOPICAL RESPONSE B: LENGTH OF COMMENT PERIOD

Many commenters requested that the public comment period on the Written Re-evaluation be extended.

The FAA announced the availability of the Draft Written Re-evaluation for public review on November 7, 2023 per 40 C.F.R Sec. 1506.6(b). Although written re-evaluations do not require public involvement, the FAA, in consultation with the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority (Authority), agreed that public involvement is important to maintain community transparency and provided a 15-day comment period. The Draft Written Re-evaluation was posted both on the project website and a hard copy was available at Burbank City Hall on November 7, 2023. The FAA received over 350 comments on the Draft Written Re-evaluation during the public comment period.

Many commenters requested that the comment period be extended but provided no explanation or rationale to support an extension request. After considering these requests, the FAA declined to extend the comment period. The document is narrowly tailored to analyze construction noise and related environmental justice and cumulative impacts that the Court specifically requested that the FAA review. Further, the FAA endeavored to work expeditiously to comply with the Court's decision issued in March 2023. The Proposed Project seeks to fix a major airport safety issue, which is that the passenger terminal is too close to the taxiways and runways and does not meet current separation standards. Given that the Proposed Project has been contemplated since at least 1980, the citizens of Burbank approved it in 2000 with Measure B, and the FAA issued the ROD in May 2021, the FAA did not want to further delay this important safety project.

Per Paragraph 9-2 of FAA Order 1050.1F, a public comment period is not required for a written re-evaluation ("written re-evaluations should be reviewed internally and may be made public at the discretion of the responsible FAA official"). Because of the limited length of the document and its focus on the matters specified by the Court, an extension was not deemed warranted. Further, none of the commenters stated what specific information could not be understood within the published Draft Written Re-evaluation. Many commenters also stated that ending the comment period before Thanksgiving was unfair but provided no rationale for that statement. Indeed, the comment period was established to avoid holidays to facilitate public participation.

Only one comment letter, from the City of Los Angeles (see Commenter A-1), commented on the construction noise analysis, which is the single focus of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, and this comment also requested an extension. However,

the City of Los Angeles was able to provide substantive comments about this analysis during the public comment period.

3.3 TOPICAL RESPONSE C: FLIGHT PATHS

Many commenters were critical of flight paths established by the FAA under the NextGen Metroplex system and sought to compel the FAA to revert to older paths flying out of the Airport and to reduce aircraft operations.

These comments focused on an issue that is outside the scope of the additional analysis that the Court required as well as the Final EIS and FAA's Record of Decision (ROD) published in 2021. As stated in the Final EIS and the ROD, no changes in flight procedures or how the Airport operates is included as part of the Proposed Project. The changes to flight paths referenced in the comments occurred prior to the preparation of the 2021 Final EIS and this Draft Written Re-evaluation. In addition, the changes to the flight paths are independent of the Proposed Project. The limited scope of the Draft Written Re-evaluation was the additional analysis required by the Court related to construction noise resulting from the Proposed Project and did not include any changes to existing flight paths.

The FAA has released a Draft Environmental Assessment (EA) associated with flight path changes associated with the Airport. The Draft EA can be found at: <https://www.faa.gov/regulationspolicies/policyguidance/noise/community/burbank-draft-environmental-assessment>.

3.4 TOPICAL RESPONSE D: ADEQUACY OF NOISE ANALYSIS

Several commenters stated that noise from aircraft operating to and from the Airport would be worse with the Proposed Project. Many commenters also mentioned that they are annoyed by the aircraft noise in the San Fernando Valley.

These comments focused on an issue that is outside the scope of the additional analysis that the Court required as well as the Final EIS and the FAA's ROD that was published in 2021. To model existing and future noise exposure, the Final EIS and the FAA's ROD relied extensively on the Aviation Environmental Design Tool (AEDT), Version 3b.¹ The Final EIS indicated that the Proposed Project would not increase the number, alter the type, or timing of aircraft used at the Airport. Furthermore, the Final EIS indicated that the Proposed Project would not result in changes to the runway configuration, aircraft fleet mix, number of operations, timing of operations, air traffic procedures, or airspace. Thus, no change in the

¹ When this EIS began, AEDT Version 3b was the most current version of the model and, therefore, was used for the analysis in this EIS.

noise environment would occur because of the Proposed Project compared to the No Action Alternative. As stated in the Draft Written Re-evaluation, the noise monitoring that was conducted for the five noise measurement locations included noise associated with freight and passenger trains, aircraft operations, and general noise in an urban environment. As stated in the Draft Written Re-evaluation, these noise measurements established the daytime ambient noise level at each of these five noise measurement locations. Thus, the FAA incorporated real world ambient noise measurements in addition to using the SoundPLAN model and the Federal Highway Administration's Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM) User's Manual to determine construction noise levels associated with the Proposed Project. Thus, the limited scope of the Draft Written Re-evaluation provides the additional analysis required by the Court related to temporary construction noise resulting from the Proposed Project.

**4 COMMENTS AND RESPONSES TO COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT WRITTEN
RE-EVALUATION**

Commenter A-1

Andrea K. Leisy, Laura M. Harris, and Casey A. Shorrock
City of Los Angeles



Andrea K. Leisy
aleisy@rmmenvirolaw.com

November 21, 2023

Via Electronic Submission (justin.heminger@usdoj.gov)

Justin D. Heminger
FAA Senior Litigation Counsel

Via FedEx Overnight Delivery

Ms. Edvige Mbakoup
U.S. Department of Transportation
Environmental Protection Specialist
Office of Airports
Federal Aviation Administration, Western Pacific Region
777 S. Aviation Boulevard, Suite 150
El Segundo, California 90245

**Re: *City of Los Angeles v. FAA et al.* (Case No. 21-71170) – City of Los Angeles
Comments on the Draft Written Re-evaluation of FAA’s May 21, 2021,
Combined Final EIS and ROD for the Burbank Airport Replacement Passenger
Terminal Project**

Dear Ms. Mbakoup:

On behalf of the City of Los Angeles (City), Remy Moose Manley, LLP (RMM) submits this letter to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) containing the City’s comments on the so-titled Draft Written Re-evaluation of FAA’s May 21, 2021, Combined Final Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) for the Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project at the Bob Hope “Hollywood Burbank” Airport (Project).

FAA prepared the Draft Written Re-evaluation in response to a March 29, 2023, order by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (Court Order), holding that the FAA failed to take a hard look at the construction noise impacts of the Project on nearby residences and must therefore, on remand, “address (i) the deficiency in its construction noise analysis described in this opinion; (ii) the resulting deficiency in its cumulative impacts analysis; and (iii) the resulting deficiency in its environmental impacts analysis” Court Order at 33. More specifically, the FAA

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 2

must analyze project-related noise and noise impacts associated with “the simultaneous operation of construction equipment,” including during overlapping phases of construction (*id.* at 28, 29); discuss the project’s consistency with City noise standards (*id.* at 31–32); “revisit its cumulative impacts analysis after taking a hard look at noise impacts from construction equipment” (*id.* at 33); and “reconsider [its environmental justice] analysis after correcting the construction noise analysis” (*id.* at 25, fn 7).

The City’s substantive comments on the Draft Written Re-evaluation are included below, categorized under explanatory headings for the FAA’s convenience, including a request for noise-reducing mitigation measures. The City looks forward to reviewing FAA’s responses to the below substantive comments, pursuant to Section 4.2 of the Draft Written Re-Evaluation, as well as responses to any other comments it may receive from other agencies or members of the public.

A. Inadequate Public Review Period

The City begins its substantive comments by noting the short and inadequate public comment period orchestrated by the FAA for its Draft Written Re-evaluation. FAA released the Draft Written Re-evaluation on November 7, 2023, and mandates physical receipt of comments by 5 p.m. on November 22, 2023. This gave the City and the public, at most, twelve business days to perform a substantive review of approximately 119 pages of technical material and determine if the FAA adequately addressed the Court Order. Even fewer days were provided where, as here, comments must be sent hardcopy because the letter contains an attachment and the FAA’s online submission form does not accommodate attachments and the FAA allows for no other means of electronic or same-day submission. This is a woefully short amount of time—far less than the required 45-day public comment period for an EIS (*see* FAA’s Order 1050.1F § 7-1.2(d)) and for a supplemental EIS (*id.* § 9-3(a)), which this Draft Written Re-evaluation closely resembles in form and content (e.g., Draft Written Re-evaluation at i (“[t]his document provides the supplemental analysis required by the [Court]” and “supplements the

1

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 3

analysis and determinations in the FAA's ROD dated May 21, 2021"); *see also* Section 2, "Supplemental Analysis"))).

A longer comment period is warranted here, solely based on the length of the material but also because of its highly technical nature and heavy public interest in the Project. Indeed, the material is so technical that the City had to hire a noise consultant to perform a peer review, which was difficult on such short notice with such a small comment window, and resulted in a truncated review (see below). Given the City's challenges, it stands to reason that a member of the public from one of the many "nearby neighborhoods" referenced by the Court (Court Order at 26) would be unable to submit substantive comments on this lengthy technical noise material in this same time frame. As noted by the Court, "FAA received hundreds of comments" on the Draft EIS (Court Order at 9), proving that the public's interest in the Project is high and, therefore, its ability to substantively comment on all related environmental analysis should be a priority for the FAA. Accordingly, The City Council President and another City Councilmember have sent the FAA a request to extend the comment period, attached hereto.

B. Issues with Noise Analysis

The City contracted Illingworth & Rodkin, Inc., to perform a peer-review analysis of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, attached and fully incorporated herein. Illingworth & Rodkin are experts in acoustical engineering with a specialty in transportation, industrial, and construction noise. Heather Bruce, the senior consultant performing the review, has particular experience with the Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport.

Of importance, Illingworth & Rodkin noted that they did not have enough time to perform a more detailed peer-review of the Draft Written Re-evaluation under the FAA's time constraints, which would have involved additional quantitative analyses to confirm predicted noise levels.

In its limited review, however, Illingworth & Rodkin did find the following problems. For more details, please refer to the attached letter from Illingworth & Rodkin.

1. **Construction Phase B4 Analysis Excluded Forklifts.** Appendix B.2, "All Construction and Demolition Phases," of the Draft Written Re-evaluation includes a list of planned

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 4

<p>construction equipment during each phase. For phase B4, Appendix B.2 states “10 All Terrain Forklift” will be used. Appendix C, “Noise Modeling Technical Report,” includes the “Construction Noise Analysis Technical Report.” Table 2 (“Construction Phases and Equipment Quantities”) within Appendix A (“Construction Assumptions”) of this appended technical report lists the construction equipment type and quantity to be used during each phase of construction. For Phase B4, the 10 All Terrain Forklifts were excluded.</p>	3
<p>2. Some Construction Phase Overlap Not Analyzed or Improperly Analyzed. Appendix B.1, “Construction Schedule,” of the Draft Written Re-evaluation includes a chart stating the construction phase, start and end date, and total workdays. Appendix B.2, “All Construction and Demolition Phases,” includes Table B.2-1 (“Modeled Phase Numbering v Written Re-Evaluation Phase Numbering for Each Construction Activity”), depicting the construction activities associated with each modeled phase number. According to Appendix B.1, mass grading will occur from 1/8/24 to 2/26/24 and excavation will occur from 2/7/24 to 5/14/24. According to the start and end dates, these two phases will overlap but were not analyzed as a combined B# modeled phase. According to Appendix B.1, excavation will occur from 2/7/24 to 5/14/24, utilities/paving Airside will occur from 4/24/24 to 2/6/26, and Building Structures will occur from 2/27/24 to 6/6/25. According to the start and end dates, three phases overlap but were not analyzed as a combined B# modeled phase. According to Appendix B.1, excavation will occur from 2/7/24 to 5/14/24 and utilities/paving landside will occur from 9/25/24 to 3/31/26. According to Table B.1-2, these two phases are combined and analyzed as construction phase B3; however, they do not overlap in time.</p>	4
<p>3. Past, Present, and Future Projects Excluded from Analysis. The City of Burbank’s website lists active projects. The following projects are located within 1,000 feet of the vicinity of the Bob Hope “Hollywood Burbank” Airport but were excluded from Table 2-8, “Updated Cumulative Projects in the Vicinity of the Airport,” of the Draft Written Re-</p>	5 ↓

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 5

evaluation: 3410 Empire Avenue, Cambria Hotel Burbank Airport, 2311 Hollywood Way,
3001 Hollywood Way, and Aloft and Residence Inn Hotel at 2500 N. Hollywood Way.

5

4. **Analysis Does not Present Worst-Case Construction Noise Levels.** The ambient noise level for each measurement location uses a 14-hour average, however, this might not be the worst-case scenario. Where hourly average noise levels are below the 14-hour average, the noise level increase due to construction noise could be greater than what was calculated in Table 2-6, "Projected Increase of Combined Ambient and Construction Noise Level Compared to Ambient Noise Levels by Construction Phase for Each Noise Measurement Location," of the Draft Written Re-evaluation. The minimum hourly average noise level should be used to conservatively determine the worst-case construction noise level increase.

6

5. **Modeling Appears to Omit Some Construction Equipment.** Appendix B.2, "All Construction and Demolition Phases," of the Draft Written Re-evaluation includes a list of planned construction equipment during each phase. There are equipment types in this list that are not included within the RCNM 2.0 noise level database, used for modeling in the Draft Written Re-evaluation. If specific equipment to be used during project construction is excluded from the RCNM 2.0 noise level database, and therefore not included in the noise modeling, then the Draft Written Re-evaluation should explain that this construction equipment is excluded and further explain how that exclusion affects analysis and/or whether any alternative equipment type with comparable noise levels was included within the noise model that might compensate for this excluded equipment.

7

C. Requested Mitigation

Although FAA still maintains its EIS conclusion that Project-generated construction noise will not result in significant impacts, the fact of the matter remains that, during Project construction, noise *will increase* in and around City neighborhoods surrounding the Airport, some of which are known Environmental Justice communities. Therefore, the City requests that

8



Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 6

FAA adopt and implement the following measures to mitigate the effects of this years-long increase in noise:

Mitigation Measure NOISE-1—Construction Noise Reduction Plan: Prior to any noise generating construction activities, FAA should require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to retain a qualified acoustical consultant to devise a Construction Noise Reduction Plan for City of Los Angeles review and approval, detailed below. This City-approved Plan shall be implemented during construction and the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority shall be required to enforce its noise reducing measures, techniques, and requirements through its inclusion on all construction bid specifications (detailed below) for all potential construction contractors hired within and/or for the Replacement Passenger Terminal Project at the Bob Hope “Hollywood Burbank” Airport.

- Bid specifications shall require that construction contractors provide an equipment inventory list for all equipment within the fleet with greater than 50 horsepower engines, that includes (at a minimum), make, model, and horsepower of equipment; operating noise levels at 50 feet, available noise control device that are installed on each piece of equipment; and associated noise reduction from the installed technology. Control devices shall include, but are not limited to, high-efficiency mufflers, acoustic dampening and protected internal noise absorption layers to vibrating panels, enclosures, and electric motors. In addition, the contractor shall specify how proposed alternative construction procedures will be employed to reduce noise at sensitive receptors compared to other more traditional methods. Examples include, but are not limited to, welding instead of riveting, mixing concrete off-site instead of on-site, use of thermal lance instead of drive motors and bits, and hydraulic pile driving or auger piles instead of impact pile driving. In all cases, the requirement is that the best commercially available noise-reducing technology and noise-reducing alternative construction method shall be used, provided that there are no safety concerns, engineering limits, or environmental constraints preventing it from being used.
- The Plan shall specify additional attenuation measures and best practices to further reduce extreme noise generating construction activities (activities generating greater than 60 dBA).

8

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 7

8

- The Plan shall also specify additional attenuation measures and best practices to further reduce construction noise impacts to sensitive receptors that reside in Airport-adjacent City of Los Angeles neighborhoods.
- The Plan shall evaluate the feasibility of noise control at the receivers by temporarily improving the noise reduction capability of adjacent buildings by the use of sound blankets for example and implement such measures if such measures are feasible and would noticeably reduce noise impacts.
- The Plan shall monitor the effectiveness of noise attenuation measures by taking noise measurements and reporting those measurements on a quarterly basis to the City of Los Angeles City Engineer.
- If a unique circumstance prevents an alternative quieter construction method to be used, the contractor shall provide evidence to support their conclusion that this quieter method cannot be used and its proposal to use the louder method. The noise reduction elements of construction bid submittals shall be approved by the City of Los Angeles City Engineer, in coordination with a qualified acoustical professional at the City's discretion, and shall be required to comply with the public notification requirements set forth in Mitigation Measure NOISE-5.

Mitigation Measure NOISE-2—Construction and Pile Driving Noise-Reducing Techniques and Muffling Devices: FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to require that all construction contractors use noise-reducing construction and pile-driving techniques, measures, and equipment, detailed below, for all construction activities conducted within 1,500 feet of noise sensitive land uses in the City of Los Angeles identified within the 65 dB contour in Exhibit 3.12-1 of the Final EIS/ROD that could be subject to significant construction and pile-driving noise. This requirement shall be enforced through its inclusion on all construction bid specifications for all potential construction contractors hired for the Replacement Passenger Terminal Project at the Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport.

- Construction contractors shall be required to use the best available noise control techniques for construction equipment, including, but not limited to state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices, equipment redesign, use of intake silencers, ducts, engine enclosures, and acoustically-attenuating shields or shrouds.

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 8

8

- FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to ensure that construction contractors implement “quiet” pile driving technology, such as pre-drilling of piles, the use of more than one pile driver to shorten the total pile driving duration, where such technologies are acceptable given geotechnical and structural requirements and conditions. For impact hammer driving, these techniques shall include use of cushion blocks during pile installation activities within 1,500 feet of sensitive land uses in the City of Los Angeles, as above-identified. Impact tools (e.g., jack hammers, pavement breakers, and rock drills) used for Project construction shall be hydraulically or electrically powered to avoid noise associated with compressed air exhaust from pneumatically powered tools. However, where use of pneumatic tools is unavailable, the impact pile hammer shall be cushioned using a wood cushion block or other material sufficient to obtain an 11 dBA reduction for all impact hammer pile-driving operations.
- Pile driving activities shall be limited to the daytime hours between 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Monday through Friday and between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. Saturday and Sunday.
- Pile driving activities greater than 60 dBA shall be limited to between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday. No pile driving greater than 60 dBA shall be allowed on weekends and National holidays.
- All construction equipment with back-up alarms shall be equipped with either audible self-adjusting backup alarms or alarms that only sound when an object is detected. The self-adjusting backup alarms shall automatically adjust to 5 dBA over the surrounding background levels. All non-self-adjusting backup alarms shall be set to the lowest setting required to be audible above the surrounding noise levels. In addition to the use of backup alarms, the construction contractor shall consider other techniques, such as observers and the scheduling of construction activities such that alarm noise is minimized.
- Stationary noise sources shall be located as far from adjacent sensitive land uses as possible, and they shall be muffled and enclosed within temporary sheds, incorporate insulation barriers, or use other measures as approved by the City of Los Angeles to provide equivalent noise reduction.
- Individual operations and techniques shall be replaced with quieter procedures (e.g., using welding instead of riveting, mixing concrete off-site instead of on-site) where feasible and consistent with building codes and other applicable laws and regulations.

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 9

8

- When noise-sensitive land uses are within close proximity to prolonged construction noise, noise attenuating buffers such as structures, truck trailers, temporary noise curtains or sound walls, or soil piles shall be located between noise sources and the receptor to shield sensitive receptors from construction noise.

The noise reduction elements of construction bid submittals shall be approved by the City of Los Angeles City Engineer, in coordination with a qualified acoustical professional at the City's discretion and shall be required to comply with the public notification requirements set forth in Mitigation Measure NOISE-5.

Mitigation Measure NOISE-3—Temporary Noise Barrier: FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to require contractors, as a condition of contract, to construct a temporary 12-foot noise barrier along any property line of the Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport adjacent to City of Los Angeles noise sensitive land uses, as identified in Mitigation Measure NOISE-2. If feasible, the barrier shall be installed at a location approximately 220 feet from the noise source and 380 feet from the nearest City sensitive land use. The barrier shall be of solid construction with no apparent gaps. Barriers are generally constructed with two layers of 0.5-inch-thick plywood (with joints staggered), and K-rail or other support; or a limp mass barrier material weighing 2 pounds per square foot.

Mitigation Measure NOISE-4—Truck Operation Restriction and Roadway Noise Level Reduction: As part of the Construction Noise Reduction Plan required by Mitigation Measure NOISE-1, prior to any issuance of a construction or building permit, or any noise-generating construction activities, the FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to develop, in consultation and with the approval of the City of Los Angeles City Engineer, conditions that all contractors will to monitor and limit truck trip generation so that no more than 23 truck trips per hour (approximately one truck every 3 minutes) enter or egress the Replacement Passenger Terminal Project work area.

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 10

8

To reduce the significant noise levels associated with truck trip generation and traffic on City of Los Angeles neighborhood streets, and prior to implementation of the Project, the FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to install a rubberized hot mix asphalt overlay (RHMA) or equivalent surface treatment with known noise reducing properties on top of the existing conventional asphalt of City streets along neighbor segments to be identified by the City of Los Angeles City Engineer. The RHMA overlay shall meet the following conditions:

- The RHMA overlay shall be designed with appropriate thickness and rubber component quantity (typically 15 percent by weight of the total blend), such that traffic noise levels are reduced by an average of 4–6 dB (noise levels vary depending on travel speeds, meteorological conditions, and pavement quality) as compared to current noise levels.
- Prior to installation of any RHMA overlay, the FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, in consultation with the City of Los Angeles, to hire a qualified acoustical engineer to review all design parameters to ensure that the RHMA design is adequate, based on most current technology, practices, and availability of products, such that, at a minimum, 4 dB in noise reduction relative to conditions without a RHMA overlay would be achieved.

Mitigation Measure NOISE-5—Public Notification: FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to notify property owners and occupants within the City of Los Angeles located within 500 feet of the construction activities of anticipated construction activities at least 14 calendar days before those activities commence. The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority shall provide the same owners and occupants with a second notice 72-hours before those activities commence, and the notice shall contain at least all pieces of information listed below:

- The estimated start and end dates of any extreme noise-generating activities;
- The estimated duration of noise-generating activities;
- A description of noise attenuation measures to be implemented; and
- Contact information for on-site supervisor or personnel overseeing noise-generating activities and the Noise Complaint Liaison (see Mitigation Measure NOISE-6).

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 11

8

Prior to providing the notice, the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority shall submit to the City for review and approval the proposed type and duration of extreme noise-generating activities and the proposed public notice.

The Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority also shall create a website that includes information on construction activities and includes when, where, and for how long noise generating construction activities would occur. In addition, prior to the beginning of construction, written notification of construction activities shall be provided to all noise-sensitive land uses located within 2,500 feet of construction activities. Additional notifications may be provided if there are substantive changes in construction operations or noise-generating activities (e.g., need for nighttime construction, special notice for blasting). Notification shall include anticipated dates and hours during which construction activities are anticipated to occur and contact information, including a daytime telephone number, for the project representative to be contacted in the event that noise levels are deemed excessive.

Mitigation Measure NOISE-6—Noise Compliance Liaison: FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to submit to the City of Los Angeles City Engineer for review and approval a set of procedures for responding to and tracking complaints received pertaining to construction noise, and shall implement the procedures during construction to facilitate and ensure compliance with the City of Los Angeles Noise Ordinance pertaining to construction (City of Los Angeles Municipal Code Section 41.40) At a minimum, the procedures for tracking and responding to noise complaints shall include:

- Designation of an onsite construction complaint and enforcement manager for the Replacement Passenger Terminal Project at the Bob Hope “Hollywood Burbank” Airport;
- A large on-site sign near the public right-of-way conveying permitted construction days/hours, complaint procedures, and phone numbers for the Project complaint manager and City of Los Angeles Code Enforcement unit;
- Protocols for receiving, responding to, and tracking received complaints;

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 12

- Maintenance of a complaint log that records received complaints and how complaints were addressed, which shall be submitted to the City of Los Angeles for review upon the City's request; and
- The telephone number of the Noise Compliance Liaison conspicuously posted at the construction site and provided to the City of Los Angeles. Copies of the construction schedule shall also be posted at nearby noise-sensitive land uses.

Mitigation Measure NOISE-7—Noise Monitoring Reports: As part of ongoing construction noise monitoring for the Replacement Passenger Terminal Project at the Bob Hope “Hollywood Burbank” Airport, FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority or its contractor to prepare monthly reports to be forwarded to the City of Los Angeles City Engineer no more than two (2) weeks from the end of the noise assessment period being reported. The report will detail hourly Leq noise levels during construction hours and a comparison to the ambient baseline noise measurements conducted prior to construction. Furthermore, extreme noise-generating events above 60 dBA will be documented. Attempts will be made to identify the source of any noise, which causes an exceedance of the standards.

Because specific noise levels at adjacent noise-sensitive land uses will depend on the location of construction activities within the site, bi-weekly site visits shall be conducted in addition to continuous noise monitoring. Additional specific mitigation measures can be developed as needed based on the results of the monitoring throughout the construction of the project.

Mitigation Measure NOISE-8—Physical Improvements or Offsite Accommodations for Substantially Affected Receptors: FAA shall require the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority to provide physical improvements or temporary accommodations for existing residents of City of Los Angeles neighborhoods that are adjacent to construction activities during impact or vibratory pile driving activities, including nighttime dredging and related construction activities, when it occurs within 600 feet with of these residences or other sensitive land uses within the City of Los Angeles.

8

Edvige Mbakoup
November 21, 2023
Page 13

8

- Physical improvements may consist of installation of storm windows in specific out-facing residences and/or temporary installation of acoustical blankets on the outside of the structure facing the pile driving activities.
- Accommodations may be provided for the duration of pile driving and dredging activities. A temporary relocation Plan shall be developed by the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority and submitted to the City of Los Angeles City Engineer for review that specifies the duration of the accommodation and the type of accommodation (e.g., hotel or other). Once finalized, the affected residents shall be contacted six (6) months prior to construction and provided with a description and the predicted severity and duration of construction-related noise exposure and provided the opportunity for temporary relocations as developed within the Temporary Relocation Plan.

* * *

Thank you for this opportunity to comment. If the FAA has any questions regarding the City's comments or would like any clarifications, please do not hesitate to contact me. The City would appreciate notification from the FAA when the responses to comments on the Draft Written Re-Evaluation are available for review.

Sincerely,



Andrea K. Leisy
Laura M. Harris
Casey A. Shorrock

Cc: Robert Mahlowitz, City of Los Angeles Deputy Attorney

Attachment 1: Letter from Offices of City of Los Angeles Councilmembers, Second and Fourth Districts, requesting comment period extension, November 16, 2023.

Attachment 2: Peer Review of FAA's Draft Written Re-Evaluation by Illingworth & Rodkin, Inc., November 20, 2023

November 21, 2023 Comment Letter
ATTACHMENT 1



November 16, 2023

Ms. Edvige B. Mbakoup
U.S. Department of Transportation
Environmental Protection Specialist
Office of Airports
Federal Aviation Administration, Western Pacific Region
777 S. Aviation Boulevard, Suite 150
El Segundo, California 90245

Re: DRAFT WRITTEN RE-EVALUATION OF FAA's MAY 21, 2021, COMBINED FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT AND RECORD OF DECISION for the proposed replacement passenger terminal project at Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport

Dear Ms. Mbakoup,

We write to you regarding the draft re-evaluation of the proposed replacement passenger terminal project ("the Project") at the Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport EIS issued on November 7, 2023. The City of Los Angeles challenged the original EIS and Record of Decision on this project in August 2021. On March 29, 2023, the Federal Court remanded the matter back to the FAA for additional, limited environmental review of noise impacts from simultaneous operation of construction equipment associated with the Project. The draft re-evaluation provides for a 15-day window for public comment for that additional review - which happens to end the day before Thanksgiving. We believe this simply isn't enough time.

In order for the greater community to have adequate time to review and comment on this environmental analysis, we respectfully request that the comment period be extended from the current timeline by an additional 30 days, for a comment period to end on December 22, 2023. We want to ensure that this is a fully fair and transparent process. In order for that to occur, the communities affected by this

LOS ANGELES CITY HALL • 200 N. SPRING STREET, ROOM 435 • LOS ANGELES, CA 90012 • 213.473.7002

Ms. Edvige B. Makoup
November 16, 2023
Page 2

proposed change deserve an additional 30 days added to the comment period. To paraphrase President Biden's Executive Order 14094, additional time will provide for more effective and equitable input, create greater trust in government, and increase public understanding of the process.

We believe the FAA will see the value in creating a more open and transparent re-evaluation process. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Very truly yours,



Paul Krekorian
President, Los Angeles City Council
Councilmember, Second District



Nithya Raman
Councilmember, Fourth District

CC: Frank R. Miller, Executive Director, Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport

November 21, 2023 Comment Letter
ATTACHMENT 2

ILLINGWORTH & RODKIN, INC.
/// Acoustics • Air Quality ///

429 E. Cotati Avenue
Cotati, CA 94931

Tel: 707-794-0400
www.illingworthrodkin.com

Fax: 707-794-0405
illro@illingworthrodkin.com

November 20, 2023

Andrea K. Leisy
Attorney
Remy | Moose | Manley LLP
555 Capitol Mall, Suite 800
Sacramento, California 95814

VIA E-Mail: aleisy@rmmenvirolaw.com

SUBJECT: Written Re-Evaluation of FAA's May 21, 2021, Combined Final Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport, Burbank, Los Angeles County, CA - Peer Review of the Re-Evaluation

Dear Andrea:

This letter presents our peer review of the Written Re-Evaluation¹ prepared by the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Western-Pacific Region for the Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport (BUR), Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project in Burbank, Los Angeles County, California. The FAA's combined Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) and Record of Decision (ROD) were issued in May 2021 for the proposed replacement passenger terminal building and associated projects at BUR. The City of Los Angeles filed a petition for review of the FAA decision regarding the Proposed Project on July 21, 2021 with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit (the Court). The Court required that the FAA review the following items:

- The City of Los Angeles' noise standards to determine if the project would be consistent.
- Construction noise should be evaluated such that all pieces of equipment are in use at the same time for each phase of construction.
- Compare the construction noise levels to the City of Los Angeles' standards.
- Determine if there are disproportionate noise impacts on minority populations.
- Determine if there is a cumulative noise impact looking at past, present, and future project impacts.

The Written Re-Evaluation has been reviewed under tight time constraints for approach, accuracy, and completeness. The key issues for the peer review were to confirm that the analysis followed

¹ Draft Written Re-Evaluation of FAA's May 21, 2021, Combined Final Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision-Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project BUR, U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration Western-Pacific Region, November 7, 2023.

Andrea K. Leisy
November 20, 2023
Page 2

the Court rulings². Limited quantitative analyses were completed to confirm predicted noise levels. With additional time and comments answered regarding construction equipment, a more detailed peer-review analysis could be performed.

The following are our specific comments related to the November 7, 2023, Written Re-Evaluation:

Construction Phase B4. Appendix B.2 All Construction and Demolition Phases includes a list of planned construction equipment during each phase. For phase B4 Appendix B.2 states “10 All Terrain Forklift” will be used. Appendix C Noise Modeling Technical Report includes the Construction Noise Analysis Technical Report³. Table 2 (Construction Phases and Equipment Quantities) within Appendix A (Construction Assumptions) of the technical report lists the construction equipment type and quantity to be used during each phase of construction. For Phase B4, the 10 All Terrain Forklifts were not included.

Construction Schedule and Phases. “Appendix B.1 (Construction Schedule) includes a chart stating the construction phase, start and end date, and total workdays. Appendix B.2 (All Construction and Demolition Phases) includes Table B.2-1 (Modeled Phase Numbering v Written Re-Evaluation Phase Numbering for Each Construction Activity) which depicts the construction activities associated with each modeled phase number. According to Appendix B.1, mass grading will occur from 1/8/24 to 2/26/24 and Excavation will occur from 2/7/24 to 5/14/24. According to the start and end dates, these two phases will overlap but were not analyzed as a combined B# modeled phase. According to Appendix B.1, excavation will occur from 2/7/24 to 5/14/24, Utilities/Paving Airside will occur from 4/24/24 to 2/6/26, and Building Structures will occur from 2/27/24 to 6/6/25. According to the start and end dates, three phases overlap but were not analyzed as a combined B# modeled phase. According to Appendix B.1, excavation will occur from 2/7/24 to 5/14/24 and Utilities/Paving Landside will occur from 9/25/24 to 3/31/26. According to Table B.1-2, these two phases are combined and analyzed as construction phase B3; however, they do not overlap in time.

Past, Present, and Future Projects. The City of Burbank’s website lists Active Projects⁴. 3410 Empire Avenue⁵, Cambria Hotel Burbank Airport⁶, 2311 Hollywood Way⁷, 3001 Hollywood Way⁸, and Aloft and Residence Inn Hotel at 2500 N. Hollywood Way⁹ are projects located within 1,000 feet of the Vicinity of the Airport but was not included within Table 2-8 Updated Cumulative Projects in the Vicinity of the Airport.

² City of Los Angeles, California Petitioner, v. Federal Aviation Administration and US. Department of Transportation Respondents Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority Respondent-Real Party in Interest (No. 21-71170), United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, Argued and Submitted October 18, 2022, Pasadena, California, Filed March 29, 2023.

³ Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project Draft Written Re-evaluation Construction Noise Analysis Technical Report, HMMH, November 3, 2023.

⁴ <https://www.burbankca.gov/web/community-development/active-projects>

⁵ <https://www.burbankca.gov/web/community-development/madison-square-garden>

⁶ <https://www.burbankca.gov/web/community-development/cambria-hotel>

⁷ <https://www.burbankca.gov/web/community-development/2311-n.-hollywood-way>

⁸ <https://www.burbankca.gov/web/community-development/avion>

⁹ <https://www.burbankca.gov/web/community-development/2500-n.-hollywood-way>

Andrea K. Leisy
November 20, 2023
Page 3

Construction Noise Levels. The ambient noise level for each measurement location uses a 14-hour average, however, this might not be the worst-case scenario. Where hourly average noise levels are below the 14-hour average, the noise level increase due to construction noise could be greater than what was calculated in Table 2-6 Projected Increase of Combined Ambient and Construction Noise Level Compared to Ambient Noise Levels by Construction Phase for Each Noise Measurement Location. The minimum hourly average noise level should also be used to conservatively determine the worst-case construction noise level increase.

Construction Noise Levels. Appendix B.2 All Construction and Demolition Phases includes a list of planned construction equipment during each phase. There are equipment types not included within RCNM 2.0 noise level database. If specific construction equipment is not included within the RCNM 2.0 noise level database are one of the two items true:

- Was the construction equipment not included within the noise model?
- Was an alternative equipment type with comparable noise levels included within the noise model?

◆ ◆ ◆

This concludes our comments on the Written Re-Evaluation prepared by the FAA, for the Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project BUR Airport in Burbank, Los Angeles County, California. Please feel free to contact us with any questions.

Sincerely yours,



Heather Bruce
Senior Consultant
ILLINGWORTH & RODKIN, INC.

(23-167)

Andrea K. Leisy
November 20, 2023
Page 4

ILLINGWORTH & RODKIN, INC.
Acoustics • Air Quality

429 East Cotati Avenue
Cotati, California 94931

Tel: 707-794-0400
www.Illingworthrodkin.com

Fax: 707-794-0405
hbruce@illingworthrodkin.com

HEATHER BRUCE

Ms. Bruce joined Illingworth & Rodkin, Inc. in 2020 and brings over 12 years of experience in acoustics and noise and vibration control. She has applied her expertise to projects related to highway tire/pavement noise, environmental noise and vibration impact assessment, and noise land-use compatibility assessments. She has successfully managed many types of studies including those for California Department of Transportation (Caltrans), car washes, residential and commercial developments, and those related to the assessment and mitigation of construction noise and vibration. She has conducted noise modeling and field noise measurements, analyzed and processed data, and performed public outreach. Ms. Bruce has been trained and is a regular user of FHWA's Traffic Noise Model (TNM) and GmbH's SoundPLAN. Ms. Bruce has attended various public meetings and hearings as a subject matter expert explaining, advocating, and negotiating with individuals and groups to come to a common understanding of issues and resolve complex problems.

Ms. Bruce began her career as a consultant performing environmental noise, vibration, and air quality modeling and analyses. Using her technical knowledge, she analyzed problems to identify significant factors, recognized solutions, planned and organized work, and effectively communicated results to provide guidance and solutions. She has developed and integrated mitigation strategies for noise from aircraft, railroads, roadways, highways, and various point sources and successfully controlled noise from emergency generators, car washes, and commercial equipment for various projects throughout California.

PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE

December 2020 to present Senior Consultant	Illingworth & Rodkin, Inc. Cotati, California
July 2018- June 2020 Senior Consultant	HMMH, Inc. Anaheim, California
March 2011-July 2018 Senior Environmental Specialist	BridgeNet International Newport Beach, California

EDUCATION

2011	University of California, Irvine B.S. Applied and Computational Mathematics
------	---

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER A-1

1. With respect to the commenter's statement regarding a 45-day comment period, the Draft Written Re-evaluation was prepared in accordance with Paragraph 9-2 of FAA Order 1050.1F. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

The comment process on the Draft Written Re-evaluation allowed for mail in and electronic comments. Commenters were free to provide attachments to their comment either by mail or copying and pasting their comments electronically.

The statement that "FAA received hundreds of comments on the Draft EIS, proving that the public's interest in the Project is high" is noted. While it is true that the Draft EIS did receive hundreds of comments from the public, the majority of those comments were not about construction-related noise. Only one commenter, the City of Los Angeles, provided comments on that topic. Therefore, the FAA concludes that the only entity commenting on construction-related noise is the City of Los Angeles.

With respect to the City hiring a consultant to perform a technical peer review, the Draft Written Re-evaluation, and NEPA documents in general, are prepared using plain language to facilitate public review. Paragraph 2-6 of FAA Order 1050.1F addresses the use of plain language. This paragraph states: *"The CEQ Regulations at 40 CFR §§ 1500.4(d), 1502.1, 1502.2(c), and 1502.8, Paragraph 14 of DOT Order 5610.1C, and the Executive Orders on environmental justice and intergovernmental consultation encourage the availability of information to the public in a manner that will facilitate public involvement in decisions affecting the human environment. FAA NEPA documentation should be written in plain language and use appropriate graphics so that decisionmakers and the public can readily understand them. The FAA has plain language guidance in FAA Order 1000.36, FAA Writing Standards."* Thus, it is not necessary for a technical peer review to be conducted. Nonetheless, the FAA has addressed the input from the City's consultant below in responding to the City's comments.

2. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B. In addition, the FAA's analysis presented in the Draft Written Re-evaluation is provided in plain language for public review and does not require a technical peer review.

3. The ten All Terrain Forklifts were included in the model for Construction Phase B4. Although Table 2 in Attachment A of the Noise Modeling Technical Report (Appendix C of the Draft Written Re-evaluation) inadvertently failed to list the ten All Terrain Forklifts, the FAA did model the noise from that equipment. The FAA has revised the table to include the All Terrain Forklifts as part of Construction Phase B4. A table showing the detailed data used for the RCNM has been included as Attachment A to the Noise Modeling Technical Report (Appendix C of the Draft Written Re-evaluation). This table shows all of the construction equipment, including the ten All Terrain Forklifts in Construction Phase B4, that were included in the construction noise analysis.
4. While the FAA understands why the commenter thought that “construction phases overlap”, this comment is incorrect. As shown in Table 2-3 of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, it is the construction activities that overlap and provide the basis for the ten construction and demolition phases. The bar chart presented in Appendix B.1 and on page 9 of Appendix C was an outdated schedule for construction activities and was inadvertently included and not updated for publication in the Draft Written Re-evaluation. As a result, the bar chart presented in Appendix B.1 and on page 9 of Appendix C has been revised to reflect the correct construction schedule for the Proposed Project that was the subject of the detailed construction noise analysis.
5. The projects identified by the commenter are not considered to be cumulative projects for purposes of the Written Re-evaluation. In addition, all of these projects are within the City of Burbank, which has more stringent construction-related noise policies than the City of Los Angeles. Although no details were provided by the commenter regarding the five projects identified, the following provides what background information the FAA could find regarding each of the projects identified by the commenter.

3410 Empire Avenue: This address does not exist as it is on the south side of Empire Avenue and is the location of existing railroad tracks. In the event that the commenter actually meant to identify 3401 West Empire Avenue, this project has recently been constructed and is occupied. Therefore, no overlap between construction of the Proposed Project and this project at 3401 West Empire Avenue would occur.

Cambria Hotel Burbank Airport: This project is at 3525 North San Fernando Boulevard. This hotel has been constructed and is scheduled for occupancy in January 2024. Therefore, no overlap between construction of the Proposed Project and the Cambria Hotel Burbank Airport would occur.

2311 Hollywood Way: This project is at the northwest corner of Valhalla Drive and Hollywood Way south of the Airport. This project is currently under appeal with the City of Burbank and there is no known construction schedule. As a result, no overlap between construction of the Proposed Project and the project at 2311 Hollywood Way is anticipated to occur.

3001 Hollywood Way: This property is associated with the Avion development on the west side of Hollywood Way north of Tulare Avenue and is adjacent to the location of the Proposed Project. This project has been constructed and is occupied. The only application submitted to the City of Burbank for this property is for signage. As a result, no overlap between construction of the Proposed Project and the Avion development would occur.

Aloft and Residence Inn Hotel at 2500 North Hollywood Way: An application for this project has been filed with the City of Burbank. A Notice of Preparation (NOP) under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) was published in December 2019. The construction date for the project identified in the NOP was June 2022 through June 2025. The Noise Analysis prepared for this project in July 2021 identified mitigation measures to reduce construction noise below significance threshold levels. However, the City of Burbank has not certified the EIR and an updated construction schedule is not available. Therefore, there is no known overlap between construction of the Proposed Project and the Aloft and Residence Inn Hotel.

6. The commenter's suggestion that minimum hourly average noise level should be used to determine the construction noise impacts is noted. However, the approach used in the Draft Written Re-evaluation was based on the Court's directive to analyze construction noise when multiple pieces of construction equipment are in use at the same time for each phase of construction and to compare the results of the analysis with the City of Los Angeles's noise standards. To accomplish this, noise measurements were conducted to determine the daytime equivalent sound level (Leq), which represents the typical level that occurs at each of the noise measurement areas, coinciding with the time period (7am to 9pm) associated with the City of Los Angeles's noise standards (see footnote 6 on page 2-7 of the Draft Written Re-evaluation). The analysis included the use of every piece of construction equipment operating continuously over the 14-hour period. This is a worst-case analysis because construction activities are dynamic in that the equipment being used at any one time fluctuates throughout the day. Thus, actual construction activities would be less than what was presented in the Draft Written Re-evaluation because the analysis does not account for the

intermittent use of construction equipment. In addition, there is nothing in the City of Los Angeles's noise standards indicating that the analysis should compare the predicted worst case daytime construction noise level to the lowest 1-hour Leq during this analysis period. The FAA utilized a reasonable approach to its technical modeling to give the public an understanding of the noise impacts caused by construction activities when added to typical ambient noise levels during the 14-hour construction window, which is based on the City of Los Angeles' noise standards.

7. The quantities of construction equipment were obtained from the Authority based on the most up-to-date information regarding construction of the Proposed Project. If a specific brand or model of equipment identified by the Authority is not present in the RCNM 2.0 database, a surrogate for that construction equipment was selected. The RCNM 2.0 database is not all encompassing; rather, the RCNM 2.0 database provides equipment levels that are typical of major infrastructure efforts. A table showing the detailed data used for the RCNM has been included as Attachment A to the Noise Modeling Technical Report (Appendix C of the Draft Written Re-evaluation). This table shows all of the construction equipment and identifies any surrogates that were used. The surrogates are approximations based on equipment type and function. Therefore, all equipment identified to be used for construction purposes were included in the analysis.
8. The commenter's suggestions regarding construction-related noise mitigation measures are noted. However, as stated in the Draft Written Re-evaluation, the noise construction analysis found that the Proposed Project's construction noise would not come close to exceeding the City of Los Angeles's noise standards or the City of Burbank's noise ordinance. In other words, no significant construction-related noise impacts would occur as a result of the Proposed Project. Therefore, no mitigation is required. Although no mitigation measures are warranted, the FAA will forward the City of Los Angeles's suggested mitigation to the Authority for consideration as voluntary measures.

Commenter A-2
Paul Krekorian and Nithya Raman
City of Los Angeles



September 11, 2020

VIA U.S. MAIL AND EMAIL

Mr. Steve Dickson
Federal Aviation Administration Administrator
800 Independence Avenue SW
Washington DC 20591

RE: Request for Comment Period Extension, Draft EIS for the Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project, Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport, Burbank, Los Angeles County, California. (Released August 21, 2020)

Dear Mr. Dickson:

On behalf of the City of Los Angeles, and the people of the City of Los Angeles, this letter requests a 75-day extension of the 45-day period for public comment concerning the FAA's Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project, Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport, Burbank, Los Angeles County, California. (Released August 21, 2020) ("DEIS"). Currently, the comment period ends October 5, 2020. The City and the people of the City of Los Angeles request more time to review the DEIS.

As stated in FAA Order 5050.4B ("NEPA Implementing Instructions for Airport Action"), Section 1102, the FAA will normally grant a 15-day extension where a commenting agency such as the City requests more time to review a DEIS. The City is requesting an additional 60-days beyond those 15 extra days as recognized by Order 5050.4B, section 1102(b)(1), and provided by the NEPA implementing regulations at 40 CFR 1560.10(d).

The extra time should be afforded to ensure full public participation in the environmental review of the Burbank replacement terminal project. The DEIS consists of 2881 pages and addresses a number of potential environmental impacts concerning an important

1


Mr. Steve Dickson
FAA Administrator
September 11, 2020
Page 2

project that directly effect the lives of the City's residents and businesses in direct proximity to the project. Because the City is not represented on the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority, public comment on Burbank Airport projects is the only means for the City and the people of the City of Los Angeles to be heard as part of environmental review. The City requests this additional amount of time so the City and its residents can fully review the DEIS to determine whether comments are appropriate.

1

Given the imminent October 5, 2020, deadline for comments, the City respectfully requests a response to this extension request at the earliest possible date.

Sincerely,


MICHAEL N. FEUER
Los Angeles City Attorney


PAUL KREKORIAN
Councilmember, 2nd District

RMM:ev

CC: The Honorable Dianne Feinstein, United States Senator
The Honorable Kamala Harris, United States Senator
The Honorable Tony Cardenas, Member of Congress
The Honorable Ted Lieu, Member of Congress
The Honorable Brad Sherman, Member of Congress
The Honorable Adam Schiff, Member of Congress
Raquel Girvin, FAA Western-Pacific Region Administrator (Raquel.Girvin@faa.gov)
Edvige B. Mbakoup, Environmental Protection Specialist, FAA Western-Pacific Region
(Edvige.B.Mbakoup@faa.gov)

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER A-2

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter A-3
Frank R. Miller
Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority



November 22, 2023

Ms. Edvige B. Mbakoup
U.S. Department of Transportation
Environmental Protection Specialist
Office of Airports
Federal Aviation Administration
Western Pacific Region
777 S. Aviation Blvd.
Suite 150
El Segundo, CA 90245

Re: Draft Written Reevaluation of FAA's May 21, 2021 Combined Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision

Dear Ms. Mbakoup:

I am the Executive Director of the Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena Airport Authority ("Authority") which owns and operates the Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport ("Airport"). The Authority is the sponsor of a 14-gate replacement passenger terminal project ("Project") at the Airport. The Authority appreciates the hard work of the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") on the draft written reevaluation called for by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit in its March 29, 2023 ruling in the case of *City of Los Angeles v. Federal Aviation Administration* (63 F.4th 834). That decision, in pertinent part, directed the FAA to further analyze the potential noise impacts from construction on nearby neighborhoods.

The Authority agrees with the FAA's overall conclusion in the draft reevaluation that construction noise (including both demolition and construction activities) associated with the Project will not cause a significant impact, and that there is no new information that would trigger the need for any further analysis or additional delay. Indeed, the Authority continues to believe that this litigation has been motivated not by environmental impacts of the Project (much less the construction noise associated with the Project), but rather by the continued hostility of the City of Los Angeles towards the FAA. The city's hostility appears related to flight track issues that occurred in Southern California over the past few years and that are wholly unrelated to the Project, which still is in the design stage.

1

Despite its support for the FAA's ultimate conclusion, the Authority feels compelled to point out that it believes the "worst case scenario" in the FAA's draft reevaluation overstates the likely noise impacts from the Project. The Ninth Circuit was concerned that the noise analysis should not be based on an assumption that the loudest piece of construction equipment will be run individually as opposed to simultaneously. The Authority is similarly concerned about the noise analysis being based on an assumption that every piece of construction equipment will be

2



2891296.2
2627 Hollywood Way • Burbank, California 91505 • (818) 840-8840 • Fax: (818) 848-1173

2

simultaneously operated. Such an assumption could be misinterpreted by the public because that is simply not how a construction site works in terms of either spacing or staffing. Indeed, the construction site engineer who will be responsible for demolition and construction activities expects that, at the most realistic worst case scenario, only 75% of equipment could be simultaneously operated. Moreover, it is far more likely that only 60% of equipment will be simultaneously operated. While the Authority appreciates that the FAA's draft reevaluation analyzes an extremely conservative worst case scenario, it is important that the public understand that impacts associated with that assumption are not likely to actually occur. With that comment, the Authority again wishes to commend the FAA on its efforts on the draft reevaluation. Hopefully, this long delayed safety project (essentially relocating the passenger terminal further away from the center lines of the Airport's runway) can now receive additional federal grant funding.

Sincerely,



Frank R. Miller
Executive Director

2891296.2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER A-3

1. The commenter's agreement with the conclusions of the Draft Written Re-evaluation is noted.
2. As stated in the Draft Written Re-evaluation, the FAA used the most conservative approach to the analysis of construction-related noise impacts. The commenter's assertion regarding the analysis overstating the construction-related noise impacts is noted. Even under the most conservative approach that the FAA adopted, the FAA has found there will be no significant construction noise impacts from the Proposed Project.

Commenter E-1
Brad Sherman
Member of Congress

Hon. Michael Whitaker
Administrator
Federal Aviation Administration
800 Independence Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20591-0004

Ms. Edvige B. Mbakoup
U.S. Department of Transportation
Environmental Protection Specialist
Office of Airports
Federal Aviation Administration, Western Pacific Region
777 S. Aviation Boulevard, Suite 150
El Segundo, California 90245

Re: Request for Extension of the Review and Public Comment Period
Regarding the Draft Written Re-evaluation of the Proposed Replacement
Passenger Terminal at Bob Hope Airport.

Dear Administrator Whitaker and Ms. Edvige Mbakoup,

On Tuesday (11/7/23), the FAA released the Draft Written Re-Evaluation EIS for the Proposed Replacement Passenger Terminal Project at Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport. Upon its release, the public have been given just two weeks (until 11/22/23, the day before Thanksgiving) to evaluate and to voice their concerns regarding this significant project. I am writing today to ask that this opportunity for public input be extended to six weeks (to December 19th, 2023) to allow the public a reasonable amount of time to review the document and to provide comments.

As you are aware, the residents of the San Fernando Valley (together with several communities around the country) continue to suffer from the concentration of flights and associated flight noise introduced through the FAA's implementation of the NextGen program. I and many of my colleagues in the House and Senate have repeatedly urged the FAA to take immediate action to fast-track the development of new flight paths, disperse air traffic, raise aircraft altitudes, and enforce nighttime curfews. As the residents of the San Fernando Valley continue to await this relief from the FAA, I implore you not to advance a project that could exacerbate the problem without at least providing the public with an adequate opportunity to express their concerns.

Please extend the deadline for public comment on the EIS to December 19th, 2023.

You may reach John Alford in my district office at 818-501-9200 or john.alford@mail.house.gov if you have any questions or comments about the foregoing.
Sincerely,

2

BRAD SHERMAN
Member of Congress

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER E-1

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. Comments noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.

Commenter O-1

Nancy Sogoian

Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association

Re: the proposed new terminal for Burbank Airport.

THE PUBLIC AND OTHER LOCAL STAKEHOLDERS MUST BE
GRANTED MORE TIME TO ANALYSE THE FAA'S REEVALUATION!

IN ORDER THAT THE IMPACTED COMMUNITY BE FAIRLY
INFORMED, RESIDENT STAKEHOLDERS MUST HAVE AN
EXTENSION OF THE COMMENT PERIOD!

Thank you!
Nancy Sogoian
Member, Board of Directors, Sherman Oaks Homeowners Association
Airport Committee Co-Chair
Sherman Oaks, CA

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER O-1

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter O-2

SoCal SFV Aviation Community Group

1

WE ARE REQUESTING AN EXTENSION OF THE DEADLINE!!!!

It is grossly unfair, unrealistic, and unreasonable to have such a short review/comment period. The DOT is effectively suppressing citizen voices. We are in the midst of one of the biggest family/travel orientated National holidays and you are expecting vulnerable impacted families to dedicate time to attempting to digest all the material at this time? Regardless of the Holiday, it is UNREASONABLE to put such a short timeframe on something that GROSSLY IMPACTS the HEALTH and Quality of Life of 100's of THOUSANDS of people in San Fernando Valley as BUR airport air traffic disproportionately decimates our region due to overgrowth.

The release of this EA has only BEGUN reaching communities. People in the Valley are only NOW (11/16/2023) beginning to hear that it is available which means, notification of impacted communities was grossly inadequate and ineffective - JUST as the NextGen "notification" proved to be.

PLEASE ACT RESPONSIBLY AND WITHIN REASON AND EXTEND THIS DEADLINE BY AN ADDITIONAL 90 DAYS!!!

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER O-2

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-1
Lydia A.

Dear FAA -

1

I wish I could say I was shocked that you were sued by the government over this primarily due to the brazen noise pollution you have intensified over the valley but that you've reissued this with zero plan to dissipate the noise & pollution issues is simply unconscionable and completely on brand.

It is such a waste of time and resource that you continue to put local municipalities and over a million citizens below in this position. I can't imagine shame works to inspire you to do the right thing so I will continue to contact my elected officials.

2

Truly sucks that you guys care more for corporate profits than citizens.

3

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-1

1. The commenter's assertions regarding noise and pollution are noted..
2. The commenter's assertion regarding the use of time and resources is noted.
3. The commenter's opinion regarding the FAA is noted.

Commenter P-2
Michelle A.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Please do the right thing.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-2

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-3
Heidi Abra

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-3

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-4
Shidan Adlparvar

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-4

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-5
Miguel Almaguer

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-5

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-6
Deborah Ambrosino

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Seriously, what are you about?

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-6

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-7
Natasa Andrejuc

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-7

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-8
Aaron B.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-8

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-9
Geoffrey B.

I'm all for progress, however, a larger air terminal with more gates will mean a lot of additional departing air traffic flying right over our house. It is noisy as it is when multiple take offs are in progress. Perhaps rerouting departing flights or at least staggering their departure route might be acceptable. I do notice that as the day progresses the departing flights are departing closer to our house, whereas the early morning flights seem to depart more to the North. It doesn't seem possible that you would conclude there will be no impact on the surrounding communities, noise wise and property values when there will eventually be more incoming and departing flights out of BUR. The answer usually lies in the theory of "follow the money". Maybe you should continue your investigation a little longer...

1

Thank you -
Geoffrey B

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-9

1. Comments noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.

Commenter P-10
Kesara B.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-10

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-11
Lyn B.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-11

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-12
Mauro B.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-12

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-13
Robin B.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Thank you for your attention to this.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-13

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-14
Robert Baer

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-14

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-15
Stephanie Baio

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Another attempt yo hide information from the public.

Stephanie Baio

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-15

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-16
Mary Baker

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-16

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-17
Kyrie Bass

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-17

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-18
Lester Bass

PLEASE,PLEASE HELP US!!!!!!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-18

1. This comment is noted.

Commenter P-19
Michael Bassett

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-19

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-20
Joe Baxley

Regarding the Draft Written Re-evaluation of Burbank Airport and its negative impact on our area, The residents of Studio City, California, postal code 91604, unanimously demand an extension of the related comment period for at least three additional months, until March, 2024. This additional period is required for adequate community outreach about the escalating noise pollution and public safety concerns resulting from Burbank Airport Air-traffic. Our area represents the largest number of air-traffic related noise complaints filed in the United States, and we demand that the FAA do something to resolve the issues. Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period ending on the day before Thanksgiving, is a disgrace to the very process it intends to uphold. The FAA's procedures related to this situation so far have fallen short of the agency's inherent purpose. The FAA has shown us nothing but lack of concern, lack of respect, and blind commitment to an irresponsible airport proposing destructive practices. Who do we turn to when the very government agency who is supposed to protect our interests, is blindly committed to the organization which is destroying our lives? How would you feel if you were in our position? You must do something about this. You must take action now, or live the rest of your lives knowing you failed at your mission. Please consider the situation at hand on a subjective and ethical level. We are relying on the FAA to take the proper stance and tighten

1

restrictions on the Burbank Airport. Be on the right side of history.
Thanks -Joe Baxley
Member Studio City for Quiet Skies, Uproar LA;
Resident and Concerned Citizen, Studio City, CA 91604
Cell Phone: 323-574-1931

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-20

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-21
Crystal Beecher

Please stop this! The valley is being destroyed by the nuisance of sound. Sherman Oaks and astudio City are no longer enjoyable. I'm woken up weekdays and weekends by planes. It's really sad this happened to all of us unexpectedly

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-21

1. Comments noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.

Commenter P-22
Bastien Benkhelil

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-22

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-23
Annelize Bester

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-23

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-24
Joelle Birnberg

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-24

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-25
Julie Bleicher

Shocked appalled and dismayed. Why the LIMITED notice?
What about the rules of 30 to 60 days? *SEE BELOW
I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft
Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through
no earlier than December 22, 2023. Talk about a red flag.

1

* [The EPA requires an agency to provide for a minimum forty-five (45)
day public, written comment period wherein interested persons or parties
may submit written comments directly to the agency about the proposed
rulemaking. This is often referred to as the "Public Comment Period."]

2

* [A 45-day public comment period on the draft permit modification follows
publication of the public notice. The comment period provides the public
with an opportunity to comment, in writing, on conditions contained in the
draft permit modification. If the information submitted during the initial
comment period appears to raise substantial new questions concerning
the draft permit modification, the agency must re-open or extend the
comment period. The members of the public may request a public hearing
on the draft permit modification.]

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-25

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. The time periods referenced by the commenter are not associated with the publication of a Written Re-evaluation. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation,, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-26
Nicholas Bobroff

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-26

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-27
Leni Boorstin

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-27

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-28
Brian Bouchey

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-28

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-29
Kelly Bouchey

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-29

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-30
Lorna Boyd

Please delay the decision to expand the Burbank airport terminal until the neighborhoods have the chance to review the proposition. Trying to sneak changes through without allowing time for public response is unfair and reprehensible. DO THE RIGHT THING!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-30

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-31
Sarah Boyd

Not enough time to comment! And on a holiday week?!?! That is unacceptable. Please give the public MORE TIME to comment!!!!

Studio City Homeowner

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-31

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-32
Barbara Brabec

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-32

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-33
Robert Bramen

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-33

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-34
Peter Brandt

The public should know what the Burbank airport is doing in the future

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-34

1. Comment noted. The public has had many opportunities to be informed regarding future development plans at Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport. These opportunities occurred during the preparation of the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) as well as during the preparation of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). Both the EIR and the EIS included several periods when the public could be engaged and provide comments. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-35
Jeff Brooks (1)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-35

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-36
Jeff Brooks (2)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-36

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-37
Jeff Brooks (3)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-37

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-38
David Bubis

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-38

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-39
Heidi Buech

To whom it may concern:

I am requesting an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Sincerely,
Heidi B.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-39

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-40
Alessio Burgio

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-40

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-41
Alisa Burket

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-41

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-42
Chloe Burnett

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-42

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-43
Gail Butler

Hello. I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression. Thank you.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-43

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-44
Ellen Byron

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-44

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-45
Art C.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Thank you!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-45

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-46
Brad C.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-46

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-47
Cristy C.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-47

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-48
Karen C.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Thank you.

Karen Cease

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-48

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-49
Laura C.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-49

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-50
Linda C.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Current BUR traffic (especially the southerly departure path miles beyond the airport into the hills) is having significant adverse impact now; and the new terminal project will absolutely HAVE Significant Impact with increased operations.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Thank you for your attention and consideration.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-50

1. Comments noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.

Commenter P-51
Lisa C.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-51

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-52
Marjorie C. P.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-52

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-53
Jodi Caden

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-53

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-54
Kari Caden

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-54

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-55
Lori Caden

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-55

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-56
EE Cahill

Please slow this down and rethink this project. BUR is surrounded by neighborhoods, schools, shopping. I already am experiencing lack of sleep due to early flights and pilots that don't respect the curfew.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-56

1. The commenter's request to slow down the project is noted. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.

Commenter P-57
T. Carter

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-57

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-58
Catherine Chanin

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-58

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-59
Mark Chatinsky

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-59

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-60
Brie Childers

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-60

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-61
Susan Clark

I have lived in the same house almost 50 years and enjoyed the quiet. But since the air traffic increased in the last 5 or 6 years my health has been impaired by the noise and radio waves coming from the Burbank Airport control and the jets flying over the house day and night.

1

There used to be a break from the noise and air traffic so we could sleep for 8 hours at least. But no more.

2

Move the airport to the desert , install a rapid train connection so people who love in the city can have a more normal life and you have the space to enlarge the buildings and runways

Thank you

Susan. Clark

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-61

1. Comments noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.
2. The EIS addressed the alternative to move the airport to another location. This Draft Written Re-evaluation is focused on construction noise analysis and the commenter's suggestions are beyond the scope of what the Court requested the FAA to reconsider in this analysis.

Commenter P-62
Jane Cody

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-62

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-63
Daniel Cohen

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression. Be ashamed, you are acting like an enemy of many of the people that keep you in business.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-63

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-64
Janet Cohen

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-64

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-65
Jay Cohen

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

I do not want expansion of the airport, there are already too many noisy flights overhead. It's almost inconceivable that 2 of the 3 cities who control the airport have no flights over head, and the citizens of Los Angeles are left with no control over low and loud flights in their airspace.

2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-65

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. The commenter's statement regarding aircraft noise is noted. Also, for a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-66
Laurie Cohn

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Thank you.

Laurie Cohn

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-66

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-67
Charles Coleman

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-67

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-68
Maxwell Connelly

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-68

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-69
Robert Corbin

I request an extension of the public comment period for at least until December 22, 2023. No need to cram this important process when families gather and travel all over the country for Thanksgiving and begin the process of preparing for the December holidays.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-69

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-70
Bill Corcoran

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days with a deadline no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

I live under the constant sound of jets from the airport. A 15-day comment period places an unreasonable and unnecessary burden on affected community members, especially given that the last day for comments is right before Thanksgiving.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-70

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

The commenter's statement regarding aircraft noise is noted.

Commenter P-71
Martha Cordero

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-71

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-72
Lynn Crosswaite

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-72

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-73
Don Croutch

We live at 16157 Morrison St., Encino Ca 91436

The amount of planes landing into Van Nuys Airport that pass our home and backyard has increased quite significantly

The noise and pollution is beyond terrible and something must be done about it ASA

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-73

1. The commenter's statement about noise associated with aircraft operating at Van Nuys Airport is noted. As requested by the Court, the subject of the Draft Written Re-evaluation is construction noise associated with a replacement passenger terminal at Bob Hope "Hollywood Burbank" Airport.

Commenter P-74
Christopher Culliton

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Very bad form, gentlemen and ladies. Shady at best...

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

I mean, if you wanted it to seems like you're trying to get this done in "the dead of night" then you've succeeded.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-74

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-75
George D.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-75

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-76
Jennifer D.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-76

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-77
Joanne D.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-77

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-78
Justin D.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-78

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-79
Paulo Da Silva

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-79

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-80
Victoria Dailey

Please extend the evaluation period for reviewing/analyzing the environmental study regarding the Burbank Airport's new terminal project. This extension of the airport affects many communities, not just Burbank. We live in Franklin Canyon (Los Angeles) which is on the southern side of the hills, 15 miles from Burbank, and	1
yet we have been subjected to loud jet noise at all hours from the new take-off patterns from Burbank. Why has our area not been outfitted with noise meters? The planes fly so low over our canyon that we can see which airline is flying. This is an	2
environmental area, with a lake that is a stopover for migrating birds, and no one wants a collision between a jet and a flock of birds. Has this been considered at all? Thank you, Victoria Dailey and Steve Turner	3

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-80

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C. To identify the ambient noise levels, noise measurements were taken at five locations in the vicinity of the Airport. As stated in the Written Re-evaluation, these noise measurement locations were chosen based on proximity of noise-sensitive receptors to proposed construction and demolition activities at the Airport as well as the closest noise-sensitive receptors within communities with Environmental Justice concerns near the Airport.
3. Evaluation of wildlife impacts outside the General Study Area related to aircraft bird strikes is beyond the scope of this Draft Written Re-evaluation.

Commenter P-81
Tracey Daniel

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-81

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-82
Michael Dantziger

I am against any expansion of Burbank Airport. I live in Studio City and already there is far too much airplane noise.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-82

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project and the commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise are noted.

Commenter P-83
David Davis (1)

I am writing to you because the noise in the Sherman oaks area from these airports has become disgusting. The planes are flying too late at night, too early in the morning, and too low to the ground. They are disrupting the sleep patterns for hundreds of thousands of people. The airport needs to be closed down or planes rerouted or dramatically diminished in the size. Many of the neighbors in the sherman oaks want to file a lawsuit. I don't understand why anybody would think of making the airport bigger it's already much too big for this area.

I'm getting pissed, the area of Ventura boulevard and van nuys boulevard has turned into a terrible place to exist.

DAVID DAVIS
4252 LEVITT LANE
SHERMAN OAKS, CA 91403

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-83

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-84
David Davis (2)

The noise from these planes is already over the top in the Sherman oaks area by van nuys boulevard and ventura Boulevard. They are flying too early in the morning too late at night and too low to the ground. Enough is enough the neighbors of Sherman oaks want to file a lawsuit this has become a terrible place.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-84

1. The commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise is noted.

Commenter P-85
Emmanuel De Saint Didier

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-85

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-86
Betsya Dennis

I just hear of this. I need more time to' comment

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-86

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-87
Luke Dennis

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-87

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-88
Randy Dodge

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-88

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-89
Glennis Dolce

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

The public deserves a chance to know about and comment on this. This is a typical move to rush things past the public during the holidays. Respect the public!
Thanks!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-89

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-90
Kay Donno

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-90

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-91
Nora Doyle

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-91

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-92
Ron Dresher

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-92

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-93
Audra Dubler

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Audra Dubler

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-93

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-94
Lisa Dunn

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-94

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-95
Rachel Dworkin

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-95

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-96
Andrew E.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-96

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-97
Elizabeth Edinger

I request a thirty-day extension of the comment period for the Draft Written Re-evaluation. Residents must have adequate time to comment.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-97

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-98
Stephanie Eisen

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Thank you!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-98

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-99
Howard Ekerling

Please do not allow the Burbank Airport to expand. There is already enough ambient noise in the area and more will just make living in the Valley more stressful. There are too many planes overhead during the day and flights should be reduced rather than expanded.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-99

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project and the commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise are noted.

Commenter P-100
Sherri Elkaim

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-100

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-101
Christie Enholm

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-101

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-102
Richard Escoto

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-102

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-103
Jennifer F.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-103

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-104

Nancy F.

Hello,

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Thank you.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-104

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-105

Rick F.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-105

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-106
Sharon F.

Please do not increase congestion at the Burbank terminal at this time. Improvements should be made first to what's already a terribly designed train depot and parking lot.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-106

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project and the commenter's suggestion regarding future improvements is noted.

Commenter P-107
Tal Feldman

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-107

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-108
Mari Feldmeier

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-108

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-109
Robert Fentress

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-109

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-110
Michael Fields

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-110

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-111
Federico Figus

Burbank Airport cannot be allowed to build a new terminal without a substantial change in takeoff routes. most flights takeoff from runway 15 and rather than fanning out, fly over a very narrow route. this has to change. also, most flights takeoff south, but eventually head north. A serious effort should be made to allow for a percentage of flights to takeoff north from runway 33.

1

we request an extension of the comment period for this draft written re-evaluation of a minimum of 30 days and possibly 60 days. 15 days to respond is just not enough considering thanksgiving and christmas are just around the corner. thank you.

2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-111

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.
2. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-112
Maureen Flannigan

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-112

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-113
Sonja Flemming

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-113

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-114
Kathi Flood

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-114

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-115
Matthew Flynn

WE live under the take off and landing path in Studio City. The sound and pollution is not acceptable. WE hope this will change soon.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-115

1. The commenter's assertion regarding noise and pollution is noted. Also, for a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-116
Mary Fragodt

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-116

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-117
F--- You Burbank²

BURBANK AIRPORT HAS NOT DONE THE LEGALLY REQUIRED EPA BEFORE CHANGING EVERY DEPARTING FLIGHT LOW OVER THE STUDIO CITY HILLS. THEY ARE TORTURING US!!!!!! WE HAVE BEEN TORTURED FOR OVER SIX [REDACTED] YEARS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

2

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-117

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.
2. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

² Expletives and inappropriate text in this comment were replaced with "---" per the guidance from the Government Printing Office style manual. The original text of this comment is available upon request.

Commenter P-118
Cathy F---youburbank³

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-118

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-119
Masami Fukuhara

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-119

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

³ Expletives and inappropriate text in this comment were replaced with "---" per the guidance from the Government Printing Office style manual. The original text of this comment is available upon request.

Commenter P-120
Alana G.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-120

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-121
David G.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-121

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-122
Julie G.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-122

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-123
Steve G.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-123

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-124

Tyler G.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-124

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-125

S. Gale

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than Friday, December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression, unless that is your intent.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-125

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-126
C. Galsor

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-126

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-127
Ellen Ganus

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-127

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-128
Paul Ganus

Planes are killing my home based audio recording business

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-128

1. The commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise and its effects is noted.

Commenter P-129
Heather Gerdes

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-129

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-130
Heather Lea Gerdes

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-130

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-131
Jane Goe

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-131

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-132
Kevin Goetz

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-132

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-133
Claire Gold

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-133

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-134
Russell Greene

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-134

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-135
Michele Gruska

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-135

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-136

Amy H.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

thank you ,

Amt

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-136

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-137

Kelly H.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-137

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-138
Paul H.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-138

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-139
Robert H.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-139

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-140
Sandra H.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-140

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-141
K. Hamilton

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-141

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-142
Samantha Hanks

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-142

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-143
Jocelyn Harrison

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-143

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-144
Catherine Hayes

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-144

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-145
Barrett Heins

No more expansion! San Fernando Valley skies are noisy enough as things are! Residents want FEWER planes, not more! Busy airports that serve commercial interests DO NOT belong within densely populated cities!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-145

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project is noted.

Commenter P-146
Carolyn Hennesy

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

The Santa Monica mountains, the wildlife which will be irreparably harmed, the resulting disruption to local, peaceful communities, the resulting disruption to traffic patterns and flow...all reasons to read the comments coming your way. Your lack of transparency on a quest for profit is unacceptable.

2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-146

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. The commenter's assertion regarding the harm to local communities, Santa Monica mountains, and wildlife is noted. As requested by the Court, the analysis included in the Draft Written Re-evaluation is focused on construction noise associated with the Proposed Project. Analysis associated with wildlife is outside the scope of the Written Re-evaluation.

Commenter P-147
Michael Hetzner

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-147

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-148
James P. Higgins (1)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-148

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-149
James P. Higgins (2)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-149

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-150
Rick Hill

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-150

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-151
Gillian Hobson

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-151

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-152
Judith Hoechner

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-152

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-153
Tom Holland

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-153

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-154
Jeff Horowitz

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-154

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-155
Alin Hovhane

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-155

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-156
Nina Huang

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-156

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-157
Paula Hutchings Allen

the noise early morning from burbank airport is already deafening inside with windows closed. weeknds can be even worse.
please protect the skies and air from the increased pollution and our lives and school and concerts and play from deafening noise of hte overhead panes. ma

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-157

1. The commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise is noted. Also, for a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-158
Elaine I.

Extend the comment period at least 45 days. 15 days including a holiday week severely limits the opportunity for citizens to comment

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-158

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-159
Lee I.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-159

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-160
Alex Intelligator

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-160

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-161
Alex Izbiki

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023. Considering that this will impact the neighboring communities materially, causing great disruption as far as noise and pollution. This is an issue that should be determined with more time given to those impacted. Thank you.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-161

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-162
Darlene Jacobs

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-162

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-163
Jeff Jacobs

please give us additional time to respond to the FAA. Again this is another battle of David versus Goliath and we have to give David additional time to respond. Thank you for your cooperation
Jeffrey Jacobs.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-163

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-164
Marina Jimenez

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-164

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-165
David Kamin

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Reevaluation by at least an additional 30 days through no earlier than 12-22-23. Following limited public notice a 15 day comment period with an end date the day before thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-165

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-166
Daniella Karidi

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-166

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-167
Jason Kay

I believe this project should move forward, but that the FAA has to reconsider the new flight pathing system which puts so much air traffic over residential neighborhoods.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-167

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-168
Roxanna Kaz

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-168

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-169
Tobias Keene

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-169

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-170
Elizabeth Keener

I am a neighbor of the Burbank Airport and live below the flight path.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-170

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-171
Rodney Kemerer (1)

Within the last few months the quality of my life has been completely ruined by air traffic out of Burbank Airport. At low altitude, enough to see all details of the underside, I have logged as many as 63 flights over my house starting at 7:00 am and lasting all day. I am outraged that after 45 years of living in Benedict Canyon I suddenly am living next to the Burbank Airport. I was given no notice of flight pattern changes, no opportunity to object. One day I am suddenly living in an airport. Any further expansion is out of the question. Flying planes toward the mountains at low altitudes makes no sense of any kind and is ruining the lives and health of thousands of residents. This has to stop.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-171

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-172
Rodney Kemerer (2)

This has to stop. On Friday November 17th starting at 6:50 am and into the evening I had (54) fifty-four low flying commercial jetliners flying over or near my house. That works out to one jet every 15 minutes. This is insane.

1

I have lived here for 46 years and now suddenly in the last few months I am living in an airport! There is no reason for these planes to be flying this low in the Santa Monica mountains. I am not alone in this reaction to this assault. Clearly there are ways to not fly into the mountains which results in a lower altitude. This has to stop.

2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-172

1. The commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise is noted.
2. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-173
David Kimball

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-173

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-174
Nancy Kirhoffer

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-174

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-175
Sarina Klemes

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-175

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-176
Cindy Koch

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-176

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-177
Jo Anna Korngute Hall

I am sick and tired of living a mile south of Mulholland, On Deep Canyon Dr. and I can see the southwest airplanes there flies so low over my beautiful backyard over my pool, jumping toxic fuel, annoying me starting at 6 AM this airport must not send its jets south of Mulholland in Beverly hills post office box. What business do they have flying over my home? I am sick and tired of it. I've lived in this house for 31 years fly over the valley.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-177

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-178
Ewelina Kosciow

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-178

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-179
Rachel Kozaski

Our house sees return flights to the airport . It gives u er our house near Vanowen & Bellingham.
What will that mean for us? Thank you

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-179

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-180
Michael Kramer

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-180

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-181
Linda Kristman

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-181

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-182
Lloyd Kurtz (1)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-182

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-183
Lloyd Kurtz (2)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-183

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-184
Amanda L.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-184

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-185
Debbie L.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-185

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-186
Denis L.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-186

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-187
Matt L.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-187

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-188
Patricia L.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-188

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-189
Ronald L.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-189

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-190

Tony L.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-190

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-191

Shirley Andrea Lauber

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-191

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-192
Pa Le

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-192

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-193
Hee Lee

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-193

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-194
Michelle Lee

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-194

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-195
Peter Leinheiser

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-195

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-196
Andrew Levin

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-196

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-197
Dr. Andrew Lewis, DDS

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-197

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-198
Jeffrey Lewis

Please accept this citizen comment solicited by FAA's posting of Draft Written Re-Evaluation for the proposed KBUR Terminal project.

1

I respectfully request that the deadline for comment submissions be extended. This is necessary for citizens to assess the contents of the latest FAA draft, and properly relate it back to the considerable content provided at the BobHopeAirportEIS.com website. The website contents include at least 67 PDF files, many measuring 20Mb to 70Mb in size; it also includes three links to many hours of youtube video. FAA has spent months creating the Draft Written Re-Evaluation as directed by the 9th Circuit Court of Appeals; shouldn't the people be afforded at least a month or two, to fully investigate and respond to FAA's proposal?

I believe that a 30-day extension would be sufficient, but even a 60-day extension may be advisable. FAA should want citizens to care enough to take the time to sort through these records and offer substantive comments. I have spent many hours and downloaded roughly half of the FAA documents (larger files needed any minutes each, just to download!), and have only barely scratched into the actual pages. It is clearly unrealistic to impose a 15-day deadline upon citizens who care enough to review FAA's proposal.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-198

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-199
Marsha Lewis

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-199

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-200
S. Lim

I am strongly objecting to the expansion of the airport because of the issues of air and sound pollution, as well as increased traffic congestion issues. The public should also be given more than this short period of time to prepare our objections to the proposed new terminal.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-200

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project is noted. Also, for a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-201
Garrett Lindsey

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-201

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-202
Janet Loeb

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-202

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-203
Laura Loftin

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-203

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-204
Stephen Loguidice

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-204

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-205
Jeanie Love

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-205

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-206
Steve Love

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-206

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-207
Sandra Lucchesi

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-207

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-208
Anthony Lucente

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-208

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-209
B. M.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-209

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-210
Ellen M.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-210

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-211
Kathleen M.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-211

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-212
Nadia M.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-212

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-213
Yevgeniya M.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-213

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-214
Heidi MacKay

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-214

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-215
Andrew Magarian

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-215

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-216
Mary Mallory

I support the move and construction of a new terminal to enhance safety, improve movement, and increase traffic out of the airport, the easiest to visit, traverse, and travel from. Thank you for working to continually improve the airport, one that has existed for more than 95 years.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-216

1. The commenter's support of the Proposed Project is noted.

Commenter P-217
Benjamin Marsh

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

The FAA cannot justifiably deny the public a reasonable amount of time to scrutinize the FAA's analysis and accompanying data. Time and time again the FAA has cut corners to reach certain desired outcomes. This must stop. Extend the comment period!

2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-217

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. Comment noted. For a discussion of the compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, see Topical Response A.

Commenter P-218
Allison Martin (1)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-218

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-219
Allison Martin (2)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-219

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-220
Megan McCord

Please don't allow any more flights to create noise and pollution and danger over our homes in the valley.
Thank you.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-220

1. The commenter's request regarding aircraft flight is noted. Also, for a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C

Commenter P-221
David McCoy

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-221

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-222
Geralyn McGah-Miller

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-222

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-223
Brian McGarry

NOTE: This commenter only left their email address. No written comments were received.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-223

1. The commenter's email address is noted.

Commenter P-224
Jayne McKay

When I read that there will be no significant impact from the new terminal, I have to differ with this summary. The schools, parks, daycare centers and all back yards under the flight path suffer the pollution from Av Gas dumped by the many charter jets that use the airport. You know the ever escalating numbers. The noise exceeds the decibel limits daily and the curfew is violated daily, since we have no fines. Tell me, no impact?

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-224

1. Comments noted. For a discussion of the compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, see Topical Response A. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.

As requested by the Court, the analysis included in the Draft Written Re-evaluation is focused on construction noise associated with the Proposed Project. Analysis associated with other impacts was included in the EIS and is outside the scope of the Written Re-evaluation.

Commenter P-225
Martha McMahon

PLEASE!!!! The cinstant air traffic voice is intolerable!! All day, every day, directly over our neighborhood!!!'n

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-225

1. The commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise is noted.

Commenter P-226
Louis Milito

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

YOU ARE RUINING THE QUALITY OF LIFE AND JEOPARDIZING THE HEALTH OF 10'S OF THOUSANDS OF RESIDENTS BY USING THE NEW SOUTHERN TAKEOFF ROUTE AND FLYING SO LOW FOR SO LONG. THERE ARE SCHOOLS IN YOUR FLIGHTPATH AND YOU ARE EXPOSING THOSE YOUNG CHILDREN TO UNNECESSARY NOISE AND AIR POLLUTION. THERE IS NO REASON YOU CAN'T TAKEOFF TO THE NORTH OVER THE INDUSTRIAL SECTION OF THE VALLEY!!! PLEASE MAKE THE CHANGE AND STOP THE FIGHTING.

2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-226

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. Comments noted. For a discussion of the compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, see Topical Response A. Also, for a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-227
Judy Miller

I cannot stand this noise anymore. And those closer to the airport are losing their minds and property values. My concern is the issue of the cost to the environment such as wildfires igniting the Studio City Sherman Oaks hills. If a plane crashed, it would be catastrophic for the area. Not to mention those people who perish and their families. (It is not an unreasonable scenario, as I have been through that very situation). I live near Coldwater Canyon and Ventura Blvd, in the hills. The noise ricochets off of the hills creating a loud prolonged rumbling noise. It's unacceptable that we live in a densely populated area, paying exorbitant real estate taxes, and contending with unnecessary air noise, and pollution, considering there was an alternative route in use for many years that did not impact most neighborhoods. Our community is not being heard	1
by the powers that be. Adam Schiff needs to explain why his area was spared from the flight path considering the airport is in his district. Cheers JM	2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-227

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.
2. The commenter's assertion regarding Representative Schiff is noted.

Commenter P-228
Pamela / Randy Miller

Dear FAA,

We are requesting you extend the deadline by 90 days on your decision to expand Bob Hope, to give the community time to review the material and render comment.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-228

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-229
Randy Miller

I respectfully request an extension of the comment period for the Draft Written re-evaluation, regarding Bob Hope, by at least an additional 60 days. No earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, and doing so over the holiday season, is clandestine and tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-229

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-230
Janine Milne

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-230

1. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-231
George Mooradian

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-231

1. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-232
Martha Morrison

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-232

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-233
Fred Muir

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-233

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-234
Aliza Murietta

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-234

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-235
Nathaniel Murphy

I do not support any expansion of the Burbank airport terminals, runways or parking lots. The noise pollution from the more concentrated flight paths over my home makes it difficult to hear other people speak when I am outside and interferes with learning at nearby Toluca Lake Elementary school. My children attend school there and they have to pause school assemblies and instruction for noisy overflights of airplanes. Additionally, why are massively noisy airplanes taking off and landing over my home after curfew??? Have you ever tried to put to bed a sick 2 year old only to have the child woken up by a god damn airplane taking off at 1AM (a time outside of the airports curfew)? The airport needs to adhere to its own curfew and impose noise

1

restriction on the airlines flying out of the airport. I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

2

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-235

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project is noted. As discussed in the EIS, the Authority has a long-standing voluntary curfew on scheduled arrivals and departures of passenger airline operations between the hours of 10pm and 6:59am. This request does not apply to military, cargo, police, medical emergency, and certain other aircraft operations. Imposition of additional non-construction related noise restrictions is outside the scope of the Draft Written Re-evaluation.
2. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-236
David N.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-236

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-237
Daniel Nadsady

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-237

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-238
Caterina Nelli

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-238

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-239
Lindsay Nesmith

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-239

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-240
Jason Nesmith

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-240

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-241
Mason Newton

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-241

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-242
Mary Odson

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Mary Odson

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-242

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-243
Rod Odson

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-243

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-244
Nicole Ostrander

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-244

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-245
Michele P.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-245

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-246
K. Pals

Please extend the comment period for the Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023. Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is unacceptable. Thank you.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-246

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-247
Hal Paris

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-247

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-248
Elizabeth Parry

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-248

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-249
Pam Pechter

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-249

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-250
Steve Pechter

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-250

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-251
Alan Perris

After a few years of planes taking off over my house, I developed lung cancer. I never smoked and neither did either of my parents. I had a portion of my lung removed. My surgeon could not give any reason for my lung cancer except "maybe it was the LA air". I truly believe it was caused by the BUR flights spewing fuel while taking off. How about not trying to rush the comment period?

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-251

1. The commenter's assertion regarding pollution from aircraft operating at the Airport is noted. Also, for a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-252
Del Persinger

I used to live 5 miles from you, right in your flightpath. It was horrible. I moved 10 miles away but you still fly over my home low and loud. How ironic and how utterly wrong that you torture me that far away and not your namesake town right next door. Wonder why.....

1

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

You should be shut down, not expanded, unless you change your destructive flight paths.

2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-252

1. Comments noted. For a discussion on flight paths, see Topical Response C. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. The commenter's assertion that the Airport should be closed is noted.

Commenter P-253
Faust Pierfederici

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-253

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-254
Jason Pope

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

This is typical behavior for the FAA, but the pretense, suppression and lies cannot be allowed to continue.

Moreover, this document is filled with more typical FAA and airport lies, and strategically omits key scientific facts about noise and its effects on humans.

2

It has been clearly determined that the FAA's noise guidelines are outdated and that the noise generated by the airport is having a much more significant impact on the health of the residents. We simply cannot allow more noise and air pollution from the airport to continue destroying the quality of life and health of our families. Nonstop, low-flying planes on narrow NextGen flight paths circling our homes, schools, and businesses are devastating, and ANYTHING that may increase this cannot and should not be accepted.

3

The conclusions outlined in this document are nothing short of outright lies and at minimum require further, NON-BIASED, THIRD-PARTY research. To say there will be no impact from these developments on the surrounding environment and health of residents is just not true and is clearly motivated by money, greed, and corruption. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH. The airport does not need to be expanded.

4

PLEASE PAY CLOSE ATTENTION TO THE COMMENTS IN THE DRAFT FROM STUDIO CITY FOR QUIET SKIES. THIS IS THE REALITY OF THE SITUATION FOR THE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF PEOPLE NEGATIVELY IMPACTED BY THE AIRPORTS.

5

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-254

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

2. Comment noted. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D
3. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C
4. Comment noted. For a discussion of the compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, see Topical Response A
5. The commenter's assertion regarding the perceived negative impacts of the Airport is noted.

Commenter P-255
Fran Potaski

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-255

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-256
Jessica Poter

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-256

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-257
Jim Praytor

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-257

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-258
W. Purcell

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-258

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-259
Anne R.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-259

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-260
Bernice R.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-260

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-261
Ryn Ramirez

Good Afternoon Ms. Mbakoup,

1

In looking at the comment submission form for the Draft Written Re-evaluation I see that it doesn't leave an opportunity for attachments. Is there another electronic method for submitting comments so we could include our attachments to our pdf'd comment letter rather than physically submitting by the 11/22 deadline? Thank you very much for your time.

Kind regards,

Ryn Ramirez

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-261

1. The FAA provided a separate response that comments with attachments could be mailed to the FAA.

Commenter P-262
Paul Reavlin

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-262

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-263
Sanjay Reddy

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-263

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-264
Derek Reisinger

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-264

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-265
Lynn Rembert

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-265

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-266
Debra Reynolds (1)

There have been fewer flights over my home inn Sherman from Burbank recently - except for that really scary one last week - a 737 flying at a little over 600 feet just to the north of the Santa Monica Mountains and my house - I could practically see in the windows. The hill is close to 900 ft, so that was way too close for comfort. I an concerned that with additional flights over my home, there will be an increased chance of an accident. I do not believe	1
that the environmental impact report was specific enough concerning where the noise actually is. If you average noise over a large area or do not place sensors where the noise is - of course you will find no appreciable change in noise levels.	2
NextGen has really impacted those of us, all over the US, under the flight paths. Any changes to have planes fly higher and diverge their paths is much appreciated - but I wish this was a law and not just voluntary.	3

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-266

1. The commenter's assertion regarding the flight path of an aircraft is noted.
2. The commenter referenced an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), which is a document prepared in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act. The EIR for the replacement passenger terminal was completed in 2018. The document currently under consideration is a Written Re-evaluation associated with the Environmental Impact Statement, which is a document prepared in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.

3. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-267
Debra Reynolds (2)

This is a second submission. Why are the public schools adjacent to Maple Park not listed? Also, the many homes are not mentioned. In terms of the schools - the teachers have to literally stop talking during teaching because the landing planes are so loud. You can not sound proof the outside the way one does the buildings. Certainly Burbank's children deserve better.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-267

1. As requested by the Court, the Draft Written Re-evaluation was prepared to address construction-related noise. Also, for a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.

Commenter P-268
Jeffrey Ringer

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-268

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-269
Laurie Rittenberg

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-269

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-270
Yuval Ron

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-270

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-271
Danny Rose

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-271

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-272
Isabel Rosenbaum

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-272

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-273
Jennifer Rothman

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-273

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-274
John Ruffner

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-274

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-275
Garrett S.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-275

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-276
Inga S.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-276

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-277
Richard S.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-277

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-278
Sara S.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-278

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-279
Jose Sanchezq

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-279

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-280
Jennifer Saunders

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-280

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-281
Charles Savinar

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-281

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-282
Cathy Schlesinger

Please don't expand the airport. We are plagued by jet noise all day long.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-282

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project is noted.

Commenter P-283
Todd Schroeder

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Furthermore, this issue is too important to be rushed like this. The Burbank Airport noise has significantly impacted my life and is making living in North Hollywood unbearable.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-283

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-284
Amy Schulenberg

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-284

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-285
Bonnie Schut

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-285

1. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-286
Mark Schwartz

If you are going to O.K turning Burbank Airport into LAX then have the planes takeoff in a northerly direction as opposed to applying thrust over a very heavily populated area . Otherwise, have them fly over the houses of all of the decision makers who work for the Department of Transportation.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-286

1. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-287
Cynthia Schwieger

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-287

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-288
Sahand Sedge

A limited public notice is not acceptable for a project that will effect so many people. I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-288

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-289
Albert Shapiro

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-289

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-290
Lilavati Sharma

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-290

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-291
Andrea Sher

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-291

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-292
David Sherry

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-292

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-293
Helene Shoval

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-293

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-294
Ron Shulem

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

The citizens of this community need time to analyze this, as we are all GREATLY affected by the noise this airport emits.

Thank you

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-294

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-295
Roman Silberfeld

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-295

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-296
Daniel Silverberg

Once again the FAA is trying to streamroll it's agenda without fair notice and community response time. I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-296

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-297
Rebecca Smith

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-297

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-298
Nancy Sogioian

Re: the proposed new terminal for Burbank Airport.

THE PUBLIC AND OTHER LOCAL STAKEHOLDERS MUST BE GRANTED MORE TIME TO ANALYSE THE FAA'S REEVALUATION!

IN ORDER THAT THE IMPACTED COMMUNITY BE FAIRLY INFORMED, RESIDENT STAKEHOLDERS MUST HAVE AN EXTENSION OF THE COMMENT PERIOD!

Thank you!

Nancy Sogioian

Sherman Oaks, CA

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-298

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-299
Norman Spieler

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-299

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-300
Laurie Spivak

I am requesting an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to PUBLIC SUPPRESSION.

For those of us whose homes are directly under the flight path, the terminal project will have SIGNIFICANT impacts!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-300

1. Comments noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-301
L. St. James

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-301

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-302
Becca Stern

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-302

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-303
Martha Stevens

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-303

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-304
Bob Stiefel

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-304

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-305
Mitch Suskin (1)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-305

1. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-306
Mitch Suskin (2)

Burbank Airport is a noisy presence, rude and unresponsive to the community, and toxic with jet exhaust. Expanding the terminal will increase the noise, and have a detrimental impact on quality of life in the San Fernando Valley. I favor shutting the obsolete facility with its unsafely short runways, but a compromise would be to leave it as it is. Do not expand the terminal.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-306

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project is noted.

Commenter P-307
James Swerman

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-307

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-308
Kate T.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-308

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-309
Kim T.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Thank you for your consideration.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-309

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-310
Danielle Tardino

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-310

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-311
Kara Thomas

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-311

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-312
Lisa Thomas

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-312

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-313
Rose Thomas

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-313

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-314
Russ Thomas

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-314

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-315
Russell Thomas

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-315

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-316
Melish Thompson

The Burbank noise caused by the illegal change in routes is causing major problems for residents West of Burbank. This is lowering property values and putting children at risk of noise and chemical pollution. Please slow down this expansion of the small airport.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-316

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C. Also, the commenter's request to slow down the Proposed Project is noted.

Commenter P-317
Monica Tomova

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-317

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-318
Maureen Toth

I am requesting an extended comment period on this issue.
Thank you!

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-318

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-319
Lauri Trapp

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-319

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-320
Debbie Trompeter

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-320

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-321
Jack Trompeter

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-321

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-322
Lionel U.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-322

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-323
John Van Tongeren

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-323

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-324
Roman Verba

Please allow more time to review the FAA re-Evaluation.

1

Noise generated by the planes flying in and out of the Burbank airport is excessive and needs to be controlled.

2

Please allow more review time so we can find ways to mitigate the noise pollution.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-324

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. The commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise is noted. Also, for a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-325
Laila Villalobos

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-325

1. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-326
Natasha Vogt

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-326

1. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-327
D. W.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-327

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-328
Debi W.

The purpose is to increase hourly throughput with each new aircraft adding tons of yearly criteria and toxic emissions and noise. Each gate adds one movement per hour. These evaluations rely on suspect unverified and undisclosed data hidden inside models. The emissions of each plane are equal to	1
tens of thousands of cars, trucks and should be considered a single unmitigated polluting factory. Using regional air quality information to find no significance is a wrong method. Airports should be treated like point sources. In that case, permitting is unlikely due to risk from the type and quantity of emissions.	2
Adding pollution to an already degraded environment in nearly every scenario would normally be found significant with the need for extensive mitigation to protect public health. Meanwhile, residents living near airports who are subject to much greater risk of disease and deaths are treated as a second class unworthy of protection and consideration.	3
Airports like to present their projects as congestion relief under the banner of efficiency allegedly streamlining and mitigating an unsafe airfield. This should be FAA's responsibility before congestion happens, not after. It is also possible congestion can be allowed to justify growth and is manageable by requiring less operations and/or demand management which pushes the congestion to off-peak periods.	4

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-328

1. As discussed in the 2021 EIS and noted in the Written Re-evaluation, the Proposed Project would have the same number of aircraft gates as the existing passenger terminal. Thus, no increase in the number of aircraft operations would occur as part of the Proposed Project. In addition, the Draft Written Re-evaluation was focused only on construction noise as per the direction of the Court. Thus, an evaluation of toxic emissions and aircraft is beyond the scope of this Draft Written Re-evaluation.
2. The commenter's assertion regarding air quality is noted. The Final EIS addressed the air quality impacts associated with Proposed Project. Also, for a discussion of the compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, see Topical Response A.

3. The commenter's assertion regarding impacts to public health is noted.
4. As discussed in the EIS, the Proposed Project is a safety project and not a capacity project. Therefore, the commenter's assertion that the project is "congestion relief" is not correct. In addition, the Draft Written Re-evaluation was focused only on construction noise as per the direction of the Court. Thus, the commenter's statements are beyond the scope of this Draft Written Re-evaluation.

Commenter P-329
Jennifer W.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-329

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-330
Lauren W.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-330

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-331
Mel W.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-331

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-332
Nancy W.

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-332

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-333
Jeffery Wachtel

Please don't build on to Burbank Airport. The noise from the flights is killing us.	1
Please change the flight paths to the old routes, facing North and East. We're begging you. Our children are begging you. Thank you. Jeff Wachtel	2

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-333

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project is noted. For a discussion of the adequacy of the noise analysis, see Topical Response D.
2. Comment noted. For a discussion of flight paths, see Topical Response C.

Commenter P-334
S. Wagner

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023. Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.	1
---	---

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-334

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-335
Christine Wasserman

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

Decisions about the new terminal are so essential to this community and require a vast amount of input from the community. Burbank and surrounding areas are already plagued with noise, exposure to diesel airplane fuel, and other health issues. The community has legitimate concerns effecting the quality and health of our lives and needs to be heard!

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-335

1. The commenter's assertion regarding existing impacts associated with the Airport is noted. The Draft Written Re-evaluation was focused only on construction noise as per the direction of the Court. Thus, an evaluation of diesel airplane fuel and other health issues is beyond the scope of this Draft Written Re-evaluation.

For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-336
Chouket Weglein

I am against the extension of the airport for the people living in the valley the noise is going to be out of control and the extension of the traffic Make it a little further away and not so close to the people living there and about to leave there. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-336

1. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project is noted.

Commenter P-337
Steve Weinstein

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-337

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-338
Joyce Weintraub

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-338

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-339
Jay Weitzler

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-339

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-340
Jami Witte

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-340

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-341
G. Wolf

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-341

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-342
Mabel Woods

The comment period needs to be extended. This was very short notice and project needs further review.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-342

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-343
Gail Wunsch

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-343

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-344

D. Yaplee

I request an extension to the comment period by at least 30 days, and not earlier than December 22, 2023. The current 15-day comment period with the deadline prior to Thanksgiving does not provide sufficient time for comment.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-344

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-345

Lauren Young

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-345

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-346
Jay Zarenian

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

We cannot allow the airport to expand. Our communities, families, schools, businesses, and lives cannot tolerate any more noise and pollution.

2

For the FAA to say the airport expansion will have no impact on our communities or the environment is a blatant lie, and not allowing enough time for public comment is just another obvious attempt to put greed and money over the health of hundreds of thousands of families.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-346

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.
2. The commenter's opposition to the Proposed Project is noted. Also, for a discussion of the compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, see Topical Response A.

Commenter P-347
Arman Zatikyan (1)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

1

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-347

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-348
Arman Zatikyan (2)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-348

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-349
Arman Zatikyan (3)

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

1

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-349

1. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.

Commenter P-350
Jimmy Zhang

What??? More airplane noise? The current noise is making my life miserable every day!

1

I request an extension of the comment period for this Draft Written Re-evaluation by at least an additional 30 days, through no earlier than December 22, 2023.

2

Following limited public notice, a 15-day comment period with an end date the day prior to Thanksgiving is tantamount to public suppression.

RESPONSE TO COMMENTER P-350

1. The commenter's assertion regarding aircraft noise is noted. As discussed in the 2021 EIS and noted in the Written Re-evaluation, the Proposed Project would have the same number of aircraft gates as the existing passenger terminal. Thus, no increase in the number of aircraft operations would occur as part of the Proposed Project.
2. Comment noted. For a discussion of the comment period of the Draft Written Re-evaluation, see Topical Response B.